

Washington, Saturday, July 25, 1959

Title 6—AGRICULTURAL CREDIT

Chapter IV—Commodity Stabilization Service and Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES AND OTHER OPERATIONS

[C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, 1959 Supp. 2, Soybeans]

PART 421—GRAINS AND RELATED COMMODITIES

Subpart—1959-Crop Soybean Loan and Purchase Agreement Program

The C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1 (23 F.R. 9651) issued by the Commodity Credit Corporation and Commodity Stabilization Service and containing the regulations of a general nature with respect to price support operations for certain grains and other commodities produced in 1959 and subsequent crop years was supplemented by C.C.C. Grain Price Support Bulletin 1, 1959 Supplement 1, Soybeans (24 F.R. 4128 and 4449) containing specific requirements applicable to price support operations on the 1959 soybean crop. These regulations are further supplemented by the addition of § 421.4437 as follows:

§ 421.4437 Support rates.

Basic county support rates for soybeans placed under loan and for soybeans delivered under purchase agreement, and the schedule of premiums and discounts are set forth in this section. Farm-storage and warehouse-storage loans, and purchases under purchase agreements will be made on the basis of the support rate established for the county in which the soybeans were produced.

(a) Basic county support rates. (1) Basic county support rates per bushel for soybeans of the classes Green soybeans and Yellow soybeans grading No. 2, and containing from 13.8 to 14.0 percent moisture, are as follows:

County All counties	Alabama	Rate per • bushel \$1.79
All counties	ARIZONA	\$1.71
All counties	ARKANSAS	\$1.82
All counties	California	\$1.71
All counties	DELAWARE	\$1.80
All counties	FLORIDA	\$1.79
All counties	Georgia	\$1. 80
	Illinois	

Rate per

County

Massac

Menard _____

Mercer ____

Monroe ____

Montgomery _

Morgan ____

Moultrie ____

Ogle _____

Peoria _____

Perry ____

1.86

1.89

1.90

1.90

1.89

1.86

Rate per

bushel

County

Fayette ____

Ford _____

Franklin ____

Fulton ____ Gallatin ____

Greene _____

Grundy ____

Hamilton ____

Hancock .____

Hardin ____

Henderson __

Henry _____

Iroquois ____ 1.91

Adams ____ Alexander ___ Bond ____ \$1.88 Jackson ___ 1.85 Jasper _____ Jefferson ____ 1.90 1.87 Boone _____ 1.89 Jersey _____ Brown -----Jo Daviess ___ Bureau_ 1.88 Johnson ____ 1.85 Calhoun ____ 1.88 Kane 1.91 Carroll _____ Kankakee ___ 1.88 1.91 Cass _____ Kendall ____ Knox _____ Champaign __ 1.91 Lake _____ La Salle ____ Christian ____ 1.91 Clark _____ 1.90 Clay _____ 1.89 Lawrence ____ 1.88 Clinton ____ 1.88 Coles _____ Livingston ___ 1.91 Logan ____ McDonough _ Cook . 1.92 1.91 Crawford ____ 1.89 1.88 McHenry ____ Cumberland _ 1.90 De Kalb ____ 1.91 McLean ____ De Witt 1.91 Macon 91 Macoupin ___ Douglas ____ 1.91 1.90 Madison _____ Du Page ____ 1.91 1.89 Edgar ____Edwards ____ Marion _____ Marshall ____ 1.87 Effingham ___ 1.91 Mason _____ 1.89

(Continued on p. 5961)

Pike

Pope

1.91

1.86

1.88 1.86

1.89

1.87

1.88

1.86

1.88

CONTENTS

COMIEMIS	
Agricultural Marketing Service	Page
Blount County Stock Yards et al.; posted stockyards Proposed rule making:	5975
Dates, domestic, produced or packed in a designated arca of California	5968 5969
Rules and regulations: Limitations of handling: Lemons grown in California	5505
and ArizonaOranges, Valencia, grown in Arizona and designated	5964
part of California	5963
Agriculture Department See Agricultural Marketing Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Commodity Exchange Authority.	5963
Alien Property Office Notices:	
Meyer-Wolde, Mrs. Adele; intention to return vested property	5982
Civil Aeronautics Board Notices: Hearings, etc.: Accident occurring at New	
York International Airport. U.S. Overseas Airlines, Inc. v. Great Lakes Airlines, Inc.	5978
Coast Guard Rules and regulations: Termination requirements; Utah system of numbering approved	5978 5967
Commerce Department See Federal Maritime Board; Public Roads Bureau.	
Commodity Credit Corporation Rules and regulations: Soybean loan and purchase agreement program, 1959 crop	5959

5959



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Govern-

the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The Federal Register will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

CFR SUPPLEMENTS

(As of January 1, 1959)

The following Supplements are now available:

Titles 1-3 (\$1.00)

General Index (\$0.75)

All other Supplements and revised books have been issued and are now available.

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

CONTENTS—-Continued

		11116
Commodity Exchange Authority Rules and regulations:	Page	Pro
Cotton: reports on Form 300	5966	
Grains, flaxseed, soybeans, fats,		Inte
oils, cottonseed meal and soy-		
bean meal; miscellaneous		si
amendments	5966	Not
	0000	F
Federal Aviation Agency		
Proposed rule making:		M
Federal airways, control areas		
and restricted areas	5973	Rul
Restricted areas	5974	B
Rules and regulations:		
Airworthiness directives: mis-		Jus
cellaneous amendments	5964	See

CONTENTS—Continued

CONTENTS—Continued		COM EM 19—Courinned	
Federal Communications Commission	Page	Land Management Bureau Notices:	Page
Notices: Hearings, etc.: Broadcasters, Oreg., Ltd., and			5975
Gospel Broadcasting Co Hansen, W. H., and Grabet,	5979	Rules and regulations: Public land orders: California	5966
Inc., Radio Enterprises Lippert, Robert L., and Mid- American Broadcasters,	5978		5967
Inc. (KOBY)Saxonville Taxi, Inc	5979 5979	Notices: Acting Assistant Commissioner	
Federal Maritime Board Notices:	•	for Management; delegation of authority Public Roads Bureau	5982
Agreement filed for approval; member lines of the Interna- tional Household Goods Rate		Notices: Conveyance of property to	
Agreement Hearings, etc.: Free time and demurrage	5978	AlaskaTreasury Department	5977
charges when removal of cargo prevented by truck-		See Coast Guard; Internal Revenue Service.	
ers; New York Mystic Terminal Co. et al Proposed rule making:	5977 5978	CODIFICATION GUIDE A numerical list of the parts of the	Code
Free time and demurrage charges during strikes by longshoremen; New York	5973	of Federal Regulations affected by document published in this issue. Proposed rule opposed to final actions, are identified.	nents es, as
Federal Power Commission Notices:		such. A Cumulative Codification Guide cothe current month appears at the end of issue beginning with the second issue	each each
Hearings, etc.: Hope Natural Gas Co	5980	month.	JI VIIC
Manufacturers Light and		6 CFR	Page
Heat Co Superior Oil Co. et al	5980 5981	421	5959
Zapata Off-Shore Co. and	0002	7 CFR	
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp	5981	922 940	
Lands withdrawn in Project	5980	953 Proposed rules:	5964
751; vacation of withdrawal Modification of land with-	9900	1003	
drawal; California Oregon Power Co	5979	100814 CFR	
Federal Trade Commission Rules and regulations:		507Proposed rules:	5964
Cease and desist orders:		600	
Kennebec Mills Corp. et al Stephen-George Wholesale	•	6015973	
Furs et alHousing and Home Finance	5965	16 CFR 13 (2 documents)	5965
Agency See Public Housing Administra-		17 CFR 2	5966
tion.	,	3	5966
Interior Department See Land Management Bureau.		9	
Internal Revenue Service		11	
Proposed rule making: Income tax; taxable years beginning after Dec. 31, 1953	5968	26 (1954) CFR Proposed rules: 1	5968
Interstate Commerce Commission		43 CFR Public land orders:	
Notices:		1912	5966
Fourth section applications for relief	5982	AE CED	
Motor carrier transfer proceed- ings	5982	40 Crk 172 Proposed rules:	5967
Rules and regulations: Bills of lading; form	5968		5973
Justice Department See Alien Property Office.		49 CFR 31	5968

CONTENTS—Continued

Illinois	Continued	IOWACo	ntinued i	Michigan-	-Continued
Timbe wan	Bata man	Pata man	Rate per	Rate per	Rate per
Rate per	Rate per County bushel	Rate per County bushel	County bushel	County bushel	County bushel
County bushel		Jefferson \$1.84	Pocahontas \$1.80	St. Clair \$1.81	Tuscola \$1.79
Pulaski \$1.85	Tazewell \$1.90 Union 1.85	Johnson 1.85	Polk 1. 83	St. Joseph 1.82	Van Buren 1.81
Putnam 1.88 Randolph 1.86	Vermilion 1.91	Jones 1.85	Pottawattamie 1.79	Sanilac 1.79	Washtenaw 1.83
	Wabash 1.87	Keokuk 1.84	Poweshiek 1.84	Shiawassee 1.80	Wayne 1.83
Richland 1.89			Ringgold 1.80	Dillawassee III 1.00	Wajic 22222 1.00
Rock Island _ 1.88		Kossuth 1.80		MINN	ESOTA
St. Clair 1.87	Washington _ 1.87	Lee 1.85	Sac 1.81	Aitirin 61 74	3/3310 Toom #1 70 -
Saline 1.86	Wayne 1.87	Linn 1.85	Scott 1.86	Aitkin \$1.74	Mille Lacs \$1.79
Sangamon 1.91	White 1.86	Louisa 1.85	Shelby 1.80	Anoka 1.78	Morrison 7.75
Schuyler 1.88	Whiteside 1.88	Lucas 1.82	Sioux 1.78	Becker 1.72	Mower 1.80
Scott 1.89	Will 1.91	Lyon 1.78	Story 1.83	Benton 1.76	Murray 1.77
Shelby 1.91	Williamson 1.86	Madison 1.81	Tama 1.84	Big Stone 1.75	Nicollet 1.78
Stark 1.89	Winnebago 1.88	Mahaska 1.83	Taylor 1.80	Blue Earth 1.79	Nobles 1.78
Stephenson 1.88	Woodford 1.90	Marion 1.83	Union 1.80	Brown 1.78	Norman 1.72
T		Marshall 1.84	Van Buren 1.84	Carver 1.78	Olmsted 1.80
IND	ANA	Mills 1.79	Wapello 1.83	Cass 1.73	Otter Tail 1.73
Adams \$1.85	Lawrence \$1.85	Mitchell 1.80	Warren 1.82	Chippewa 1.76	Pennington _ 1.72
Allen 1.86	Madison 1.84	Monona 1.79	Washington _ 1.84	Chisago 1.78	Pine 1.76
Bartholomew_ 1.84	Marion 1.85	Monroe 1.82	Wayne 1.82	Clay 1.72	Pipestone 1.77
Benton 1.90	Marshall 1.86	Montgomery 1.79	Webster 1.82	Clearwater 1.72	Polk 1.72
Blackford 1.84	Martin 1.85	Muscatine 1.86	Winnebago 1.79	Cottonwood _ 1.78	Pope 1.75
Boone 1.86	Miami 1.84	O'Brien 1.79	Winneshiek 1.81	Crow Wing 1.74	Ramsey 1.79
Brown 1.84	Monroe 1.85	Osceola 1.79	Woodbury 1.79	Dakota 1.79	Red Lake 1,72
Carroll 1.86	Montgomery _ 1.88	Page 1.79	Worth 1.80	Dodge 1.80	Redwood 1.77
Cass 1.85	Morgan 1.85	Palo Alto 1.80	Wright 1.82	Douglas 1.74	Renville 1.77
Clark 1.83	Newton 1.90	Plymouth 1.78	` '	Faribault 1.79	Rice 1.80
Clay 1.86	Noble 1.86			Fillmore 1.80	Rock 1.77
Clinton 1.86	Ohio 1.83	KAN	DAD	Freeborn 1.80	Roseau 1.71
Crawford 1.83	Orange 1.84	Allen \$1.78	Linn \$1.79	Goodhue 1.80	Scott 1.79
Daviess 1.85	Owen 1.85	Anderson 1.79	Lyon 1.77	Grant 1.74	Sherburne 1.78
Dearborn 1.83	Parke 1.87	Atchison 1.79	McPherson 1.75	Hennepin 1.79	Sibley 1.78
Decatur 1.84	Perry 1.83	Bourbon 1.78	Marion 1.76	Houston 1.80	Stearns 1.76
De Kalb 1.86	Pike 1.85	Brown 1.78	Marshall 1.77	Hubbard 1.72	Steele 1.80
Delaware 1.84	Porter 1.89	Butler 1.76	Miami 1.79	Isanti 1.78	Stevens 1.75
		Chase 1.76	Mitchell 1.75	Jackson 1.78	Swift 1.76
Dubois 1.84		Chautauqua _ 1.75	Montgomery _ 1.75	Kanabec 1.77	Todd 1,74
Elkhart 1.85		Cherokee 1.77	Morris 1.77	Kandiyohi 1.76	Traverse 1.74
Fayette 1.84		Clay 1.77	Nemaha 1.78	Kittson 1.71	Wabasha 1.80
Floyd 1.83	Randolph 1.84	Cloud 1.76	Neosho 1.77	Lac qui Parle _ 1.76	Wadena 1.73
Fountain 1.89	Ripley 1.83	Coffey 1.78	Osage 1.78	Le Sueur 1.79	Waseca 1.79
Franklin 1.84	Rush 1.84	l	Osborne 1.74	Lincoln 1.77	Washington 1.79
Fulton 1.85	St. Joseph 1.86	Cowley 1.75 Crawford 1.77	Ottawa 1.76	Lyon 1.77	Watonwan 1.79
Gibson 1.86	Scott 1.83	Dickinson 1.76	Pottawatomie 1.77	McLeod 1.78	Wilkin 1.73
Grant 1.84	Shelby 1.84				
Greene 1.86	Spencer 1.83	Doniphan 1.79	Reno 1.74	Mahnomen 1.72	Winona 1.80
Hamilton 1.85	Starke 1.87	Douglas 1.79	Republic 1.76	Marshall 1.71	Wright 1.78
Hancock 1.84	Steuben 1.86	Elk 1.76	Rice 1.74	Martin 1.79	Yellow Med-
Harrison 1.83	Sullivan 1.87	Ellsworth 1.74	Riley 1.77	Meeker 1.77	icine 1.76
Hendricks 1.85	Switzerland 1.83	Franklin 1.79	Russell 1.74	Micel	SSIPPI
Henry 1.84	Tippecanoe 1.88	Geary 1.77	Saline 1.75	MISSI	SSIPPI
Henry 1.84				A 33	** **
Howard 1.85	Tipton 1.85	Greenwood 1.77	Sedgwick 1.75	All-counties	\$1.82
		Greenwood 1.77 Harper 1.74	Shawnee 1.79	Į.	
Howard 1.85 Huntington _ 1.85 Jackson 1.84	Tipton 1.85	Greenwood 1.77 Harper 1.74 Harvey 1.75	Shawnee 1.79 Smith 1.74	Į.	\$1.82 SOURI
Howard 1.85 Huntington _ 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.88	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89	Greenwood 1.77 Harper 1.74 Harvey 1.75 Jackson 1.78	Shawnee 1.79 Smith 1.74 Sumner 1.74	Į.	
Howard 1.85 Huntington _ 1.85 Jackson 1.84	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85	Greenwood 1.77 Harper 1.74 Harvey 1.75 Jackson 1.78 Jefferson 1.79	Shawnee 1.79 Smith 1.74 Sumner 1.74 Wabaunsee 1.78	Miss	SOURI
Howard 1.85 Huntington _ 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.88	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84	Greenwood _ 1.77 Harper 1.74 Harvey 1.75 Jackson 1.78 Jefferson 1.79 Jewell 1.75	Shawnee 1.79 Smith 1.74 Sumner 1.74 Wabaunsee 1.78 Washington 1.77	Mīss Rate per	SOURI Rate per
Howard 1.85 Huntington 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.84 Jay 1.84 Jefferson 1.83	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84	Greenwood 1.77 Harper 1.74 Harvey 1.75 Jackson 1.78 Jefferson 1.79 Jewell 1.75 Johnson 1.79	Shawnee 1.79 Smith 1.74 Sumner 1.74 Wabaunsee 1.78 Washington 1.77 Wilson 1.76	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair\$1.83	SOURI Rate per County bushel Dunklin \$1.83
Howard 1.85 Huntington 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.88 Jay 1.84 Jefferson 1.83 Jennings 1.83	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88	Greenwood 1.77 Harper 1.74 Harvey 1.75 Jackson 1.78 Jefferson 1.79 Jewell 1.75 Johnson 1.79 Kingman 1.74	Shawnee 1.79 Smith 1.74 Sumner 1.74 Wabaunsee 1.78 Washington 1.77 Wilson 1.76 Woodson 1.77	Miss Rate per County bushel	SOURI Rate per County bushel
Howard 1.85 Huntington 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.84 Jay 1.84 Jefferson 1.83	Tipton 1. 85 Union 1. 84 Vanderburgh 1. 85 Vermillion 1. 89 Vigo 1. 88 Wabash 1. 84 Warren 1. 89 Warrick 1. 84	Greenwood	Shawnee 1.79 Smith 1.74 Sumner 1.74 Wabaunsee 1.78 Washington 1.77 Wilson 1.76	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80	Rate per County bushel Dunklin \$1. 83 Franklin 1. 83 Gasconade 1. 82
Howard 1.85 Huntington _ 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.84 Jay 1.84 Jefferson 1.83 Jennings 1.83 Johnson 1.84	Tipton 1. 85 Union 1. 84 Vanderburgh 1. 85 Vermillion 1. 89 Vigo 1. 88 Wabash 1. 84 Warren 1. 89 Warrick 1. 84	Greenwood 1.77 Harper 1.74 Harvey 1.75 Jackson 1.78 Jefferson 1.79 Jewell 1.75 Johnson 1.79 Kingman 1.74	Shawnee 1.79 Smith 1.74 Sumner 1.74 Wabaunsee 1.78 Washington 1.77 Wilson 1.76 Woodson 1.77	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.84	Rate per County bushel Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80
Howard 1.85 Huntington 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.84 Jay 1.84 Jefferson 1.83 Jennings 1.83 Johnson 1.84 Knox 1.86 Kosciusko 1.85	Tipton 1. 85 Union 1. 84 Vanderburgh 1. 85 Vermillion 1. 89 Vigo 1. 88 Wabash 1. 84 Warren 1. 84 Washington 1. 83	Greenwood . 1.77 Harper . 1.74 Harvey . 1.75 Jackson . 1.78 Jefferson . 1.79 Jewell . 1.75 Johnson . 1.79 Kingman . 1.74 Labette . 1.76	Shawnee 1.79 Smith 1.74 Sumner 1.74 Wabaunsee 1.78 Washington 1.77 Wilson 1.76 Woodson 1.77 Wyandotte 1.79	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.84 Barry 1.78	Rate per County bushel Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79
Howard 1.85 Huntington 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.84 Jay 1.84 Jefferson 1.83 Jennings 1.83 Johnson 1.84 Knox 1.86 Kosciusko 1.85	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.84	Greenwood . 1.77 Harper . 1.74 Harvey . 1.75 Jackson . 1.78 Jefferson . 1.79 Jewell . 1.75 Johnson . 1.79 Kingman . 1.74 Labette . 1.76 Leavenworth . 1.79 Lincoln . 1.75	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.84 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78	Rate per County bushel Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81
Howard	Tipton	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.84 Barry 1.78 Bates 1.80 Rate 1.8	Rate per County bushel Dunklin \$1. 83 Franklin 1. 83 Gasconade 1. 82 Gentry 1. 80 Greene 1. 79 Grundy 1. 81 Harrison 1. 80
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.88 Whitley 1.83	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.84 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Benton 1.80	Rate per Dunklin \$1.63
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.88	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.84 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Benton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Hickory 1.80
Howard	Tipton	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.84 Barry 1.78 Bates 1.80 Benton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83
Howard	Tipton 1. 85 Union 1. 84 Vanderburgh 1. 85 Vermillion 1. 89 Vigo 1. 84 Wabash 1. 84 Warren 1. 89 Warrick 1. 84 Washington 1. 84 Wayne 1. 84 Wells 1. 85 White 1. 83 White 1. 83 OWA Davis \$1. 83	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.84 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Benton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Hickory 1.80
Howard	Tipton	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.84 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Butler 1.83	Rate per Dunklin \$1.63
Howard	Tipton	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.84 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Buller 1.83 Caldwell 1.80	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greëne 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Holt 1.20 Howard 1.82 Howeld 1.81 Iron 1.81
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.83 OWA Davis \$1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per Dushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.84 Barry 1.78 Bates 1.80 Benton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.80 Callaway 1.83	Rate per bushel
Howard 1.85 Huntington 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.84 Jay 1.84 Jefferson 1.83 Jennings 1.83 Johnson 1.84 Knox 1.86 Kosciusko 1.85 Lagrange 1.86 Lake 1.90 La Porte 1.87 Adair \$1.81 Adams 1.80 Allamakee 1.82 Appanoose 1.82 Audubon 1.81	Tipton 1. 85 Union 1. 84 Vanderburgh 1. 85 Vermillion 1. 89 Vigo 1. 84 Wabash 1. 84 Warren 1. 89 Warrick 1. 84 Washington 1. 83 Wayne 1. 84 Wells 1. 85 White 1. 85 White 1. 83 OWA Davis \$1. 83 Decatur 1. 81 Delaware 1. 84 Des Moines 1. 85 Dickinson 1. 79	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per Dushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.78 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81	Rate per bushel
Howard 1.85 Huntington 1.85 Jackson 1.84 Jasper 1.84 Jasper 1.84 Jefferson 1.83 Jennings 1.83 Johnson 1.84 Knox 1.86 Kosciusko 1.85 Lagrange 1.85 Lagrange 1.87 Adair \$1.81 Adams 1.80 Allamakee 1.82 Appanoose 1.82 Audubon 1.81 Benton 1.85	Tipton	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per Dushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.81 Cape Girar-	Rate per bushel
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.85 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.83 White 1.83 Decatur 1.83 Decatur 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per Dushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Buller 1.83 Caldwell 1.80 Callaway 1.83 Canden 1.83 Canden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.83 Candeu 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.83 Caunden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.83 Caunden 1.84 Caunden 1.85 Caunden 1.85 Caunden 1.86 Ca pe Girardeau 1.83 Caunden 1.85 Caunden 1.85 Caunden 1.85 Caunden 1.86 Ca pe Girardeau 1.85 Caunden 1.86 Caunden 1.87 Caunden	Rate per
Howard	Tipton 1. 85 Union 1. 84 Vanderburgh 1. 85 Vermillion 1. 89 Vigo 1. 88 Wabash 1. 84 Warren 1. 89 Warrick 1. 84 Washington 1. 83 Wayne 1. 85 White 1. 83 White 1. 83 White 1. 83 Decatur 1. 81 Delaware 1. 81 Delaware 1. 85 Dickinson 1. 79 Dubuque 1. 84 Emmet 1. 79 Fayette 1. 83	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.84 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.20 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Cape Girardeau 1.81 Carroll 1.83 Carroll 1.84 Carroll 1.84 Carroll 1.84 Carroll 1.84 Carroll 1.84 Carroll 1.85	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Hickory 1.80 Holt 1.80 Howard 1.82 Howell 1.81 Iron 1.81 Jackson 1.81 Jackson 1.81 Jackson 1.83 Jefferson 1.83 Johnson 1.83 Johnson 1.84 Koox 1.84
Howard	Tipton	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.84 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Cape Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.83 Carter 1.83 Carter 1.83 Carter 1.81 Carter 1.83 Carter 1.81 Carter 1.83 Carter 1.84 Carter 1.82 Carter 1.82 Carter 1.82 Carter 1.82 Carter 1.82 Carter 1.82 Carter 1.84 Carte	Rate per bushel
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.83 Whitey 1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.81 Franklin 1.82	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per Dushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Buller 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.81 Carroll 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80	Rate per bushel
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.83 White 1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.81 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.70	Greenwood	Shawnee	Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Boone 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.83 Carroll 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Holt 1.81 Iron 1.80 Iron Iron
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 OWA Davis \$1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Ember 1.85 Floyd 1.81 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.81 Greene 1.84	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.84 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.83 Carter 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Chariton 1.82	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Holt 1.80 Howell 1.81 Iron 1.81 Jackson 1.83 Jeffercon 1.83 Johnson 1.80 Knox 1.84 Laclede 1.80 Lawrence 1.78 Lewis 1.85
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 CWA Davis \$1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.81 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.79 Greene 1.81 Grundy 1.83	Greenwood	Shawnee	Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.81 Care Geau 1.81 Care 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.70 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Christian 1.79 Christian 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Chr	Rate per bushel
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.83 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.79 Greene 1.81 Grundy 1.83 Guthrie 1.81	Greenwood	Shawnee	Rate per Dushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Audrain 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Buller 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.83 Carroll 1.81 Cape Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.82 Caristian 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85	Rate per bushel
Howard	Tipton	Greenwood 1.77	Shawnee	Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.20 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Cape Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.85 Clay 1.85 Clay 1.80 Clay 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Clay C	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Holt 1.81 Howell 1.81 Iron 1.81 Iron 1.81 Jackson 1.83 Jeffercon 1.83 Johnson 1.83 Johnson 1.80 Lafayette 1.80 Lafayette 1.80 Lafayette 1.80 Lafunda 1.81 Levis 1.85 Lincoln 1.85 Lincoln 1.85 Lincoln 1.85 Lincoln 1.85 Linton 1.85 Linton 1.85 Linton 1.85 Linton 1.82 Livingston 1.81
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 Compared to the service of	Greenwood 1.77	Shawnee	Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Clinton 1.80	Rate per bushel
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.83 White 1.83 White 1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.83 Floyd 1.83 Fremont 1.83 Grundy 1.83 Guthrie 1.83 Guthrie 1.83 Hamilton 1.82 Hancock 1.83 Hardin 1.85	Greenwood 1.77	Shawnee	Rate per St. 83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Buller 1.83 Caldwell 1.80 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Callaway 1.83 Carroll 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cdar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cole 1.80 Cole 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cole 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cole 1.82 Cole 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cole 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cole 1.80 Cole 1.8	Rate per bushel
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.83 White 1.83 OWA Davis \$1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.81 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.79 Greene 1.81 Grundy 1.83 Hamilton 1.83 Harrison 1.85 Hardin 1.83 Harrison 1.87	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.20 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Cape Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Colle 1.80 Colle 1.80 Colle 1.80 Colle 1.80 Colle 1.80 Colle 1.82 Cooper 1.	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Hickory 1.80 Holt 1.81 Howard 1.82 Howell 1.81 Iron 1.81 Jackson 1.83 Jasper 1.73 Jefferson 1.83 Johnson 1.80 Knox 1.84 Laclede 1.80 Lafayette 1.80 Lafayette 1.80 Lawrence 1.78 Lewis 1.85 Lincoln 1.85 Lincoln 1.85 Lincoln 1.82 Livingston 1.81 McDonald 1.78 Macon 1.81 Macdonn 1.82 Maddison 1.82 Maddison 1.82 Maddison 1.82 Maddison 1.82
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 OWA Davis 1.81 Delaware 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.81 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.79 Greene 1.81 Grundy 1.83 Guthrie 1.81 Hamilton 1.82 Hancock 1.81 Harrison 1.76 Henry 1.84	Greenwood 1.77	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.00 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Carson 1.81 Carter 1.82 Carson 1.80 Ciniton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Clinton 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Crawford 1.82 Craw	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Holt 1.81 Howell 1.81 Iron 1.81 Jackson 1.85 Lincoln 1.80 Lawrence 1.78 Lewis 1.85 Lincoln 1.24 Linn 1.81 Linn 1.81 McDonald 1.78 Macon 1.83 Madison 1.82 Maries 1.81
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.83 White 1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.81 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.79 Greene 1.81 Grundy 1.83 Guthrie 1.81 Hamilton 1.82 Hancock 1.85 Harrison 1.77 Henry 1.83	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per Dushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Benton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.80 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Care Geau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.81 Carter 1.82 Christian 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cawford 1.82 Cawford 1.82 Cawford 1.82 Cawford 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cawford 1.82 Cawfo	Rate per bushel
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.83 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.79 Greene 1.81 Grundy 1.83 Guthrie 1.81 Hamilton 1.82 Hancock 1.81 Harrison 1.77 Henry 1.83 Harrison 1.77 Henry 1.84 Howard 1.86	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Benton 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.80 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Cape Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Cilinton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Crawford 1.82 Crawford 1.82 Cay 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Crawford 1.82 Cay 1.80 Cole 1.82 Cay Cay	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Henry 1.80 Holt 1.81 Iron 1.85 Iron 1.85 Iron 1.85 Iron 1.85 Iron 1.82 Iron 1.83 Iron 1.85 Iron 1.83 Iron 1.85 Iron 1.82 Iron 1.83 Iron 1.85 Iron Iron
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.85 White 1.85 White 1.83 White 1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.81 Delaware 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Dubuque 1.84 Emmet 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.81 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.79 Greene 1.81 Grundy 1.81 Hamilton 1.82 Hardin 1.83 Harrison 1.79 Hardin 1.83 Harrison 1.79 Henry 1.84 Humboldt 1.85 Humboldt 1.85 Humboldt 1.86 Humboldt 1.86 Humboldt 1.86 Humboldt 1.86 Humboldt 1.86	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barry 1.78 Barton 1.78 Bates 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.20 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Cape Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Cole 1.82 Crawford 1.82 Cape 1.78 Dallas 1.80 Daviess 1.80 Coles 1.80 Cole	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Hickory 1.80 Holt 1.81 Iron 1.81 Jackson 1.81 Jackson 1.81 Jackson 1.81 Jackson 1.83 Jeffercon 1.83 Johnson 1.80 Knox 1.84 Laclede 1.80 Lawrence 1.84 Linn 1.85 Lincoln 1.85 Lincoln 1.85 Lincoln 1.81 McDonald 1.78 Macon 1.82 Maries 1.81 Marion 1.85 Mercer 1.81 Marion 1.85 Mercer 1.81 Miller 1.81 Miller 1.81
Howard	Tipton	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Carsoll 1.81 Carter 1.82 Carsoll 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Cinton 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cawford 1.83 Cawford 1.84 Cawford 1.85 Cawfor	Rate per Dunklin
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.83 White 1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.83 Floyd 1.83 Franklin 1.82 Fremont 1.79 Greene 1.81 Grundy 1.83 Guthrie 1.81 Hamilton 1.82 Hancock 1.81 Harrison 1.77 Henry 1.84 Howard 1.85 Howard 1.86 Howard	Greenwood	Shawnee	Rate per State	Rate per Dunklin \$1.83 Franklin 1.83 Gasconade 1.82 Gentry 1.80 Greene 1.79 Grundy 1.81 Harrison 1.80 Henry 1.80 Henry 1.80 Holt 1.81 Iron 1.85 Iron Iron 1.85 Iron Iron
Howard	Tipton 1.85 Union 1.84 Vanderburgh 1.85 Vermillion 1.89 Vigo 1.88 Wabash 1.84 Warren 1.89 Warrick 1.84 Washington 1.83 Wayne 1.84 Wells 1.85 White 1.83 White 1.83 Decatur 1.81 Delaware 1.84 Des Moines 1.85 Dickinson 1.79 Fayette 1.83 Floyd 1.83 Floyd 1.83 Floyd 1.83 Grundy 1.83 Hamilton 1.83 Harrison 1.77 Henry 1.84 Howard 1.86 Humboldt 1.83 Jackson 1.88	Greenwood	Shawnee	Miss Rate per County bushel Adair \$1.83 Andrew 1.80 Atchison 1.80 Atchison 1.78 Barton 1.78 Barton 1.80 Bollinger 1.83 Boone 1.83 Buchanan 1.80 Butler 1.83 Caldwell 1.60 Callaway 1.83 Camden 1.81 Ca pe Girardeau 1.81 Carter 1.82 Carsoll 1.81 Carter 1.82 Carsoll 1.81 Carter 1.82 Cass 1.80 Cedar 1.79 Chariton 1.82 Christian 1.79 Clark 1.85 Clay 1.80 Cinton 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cooper 1.82 Cawford 1.83 Cawford 1.84 Cawford 1.85 Cawfor	Rate per Dunklin

Mis	SOURI-	Continued	Ţ	
	te per		te per	
County by	ıshel	County bu	shel	TT .
Montgomery _ Morgan	\$1.83 1.81	St. Clair : St. Francois	\$1.80 1.83	H
New Madrid	1.83	St. Louis	1.84	H
Newton	1.78	St. Genevieve_ Saline	1.83	H
Nodaway Oregon	1, 80 1, 81	Schuyler	1.83	H
Osage	1.82	Scotland	1.84	H
Ozark Pemiscot	1, 80 1, 83	Scott Shannon	1.83	H
Perry	1.83	Shelby	1.84	Ja
Pettis	1.81	Stoddard	1.83	Je
Phelps Pike	1.81 1.85	Stone Sullivan	1.79 1.82	K L
Platte	1.80	Taney	1.80	L
Polk	1.80	Texas	1.81	L
Pulaski	1.81 1.82	Vernon Warren	1.79 1.83	L
Ralls	1.85	Washington	1.82	L
Randolph	1.83 1.80	Wayne	1.82 1.80	M M
Ray Reynolds	1.81	Webster	1.80	M
Ripley	1.82	Wright	1.80	M
St. Charles	1.84			M
	NEBR	ASKA		IV.
Adams	\$1.74	Merrick	\$1.75	M
Antelope	1.75	Nance	1.75 1.78	M
Boone Boyd	1.75 1.74	Nemaha	1.75	M
Burt	1.78	Otoe	1.78	
Butler	1.78 1.78	Pawnee	1.78 1.75	A)
Cass Cedar	1.78	Pierce	1.76	
Clav	1.75	Polk	1.76	A
Colfax	1.77	Richardson	1.78 1.77 1.78 1.78	١
Cuming Dakota	1.77 1.77	Saline Sarpy	1.78	A
Dixon	1.77	Saunders	1.78	
Dodge	1.78	Seward	1, 77 1, 76	l
Douglas Filimore	1.78 1.76	Stanton Thayer	1.76	A
Gage	1.77	Thurston	1.76 1.77	B
Hall	1.74	Washington _	1.78 1.76	E
Hamilton Jefferson		Wayne Webster	1.74	B
Johnson	1.78	York	1.76	C
Knox Lancaster		All other counties	1.73	١٠ç
Madison	1.75	countries ===	1	C
	Mesu.	Jersey		Ē
All counties			\$1.81	G
		MEXICO		H
All counties			\$1.71	H
	Menn	York		E
All counties			\$1.80	١
,		CAROLINA		A
			\$1.80	A
			•	"
	_	DAKOTA		A
	ate per ushel	County h	ite per ushel	
Barnes	\$1,71	Sargent Steele Traill	\$1.71	A
Cass	1.72	Steele	1.71	1
Grand Forks _ Ransom	1.71	TraillAll other	1.72	
Richland		counties	1.70	ı,
-	0	HIC		F
				1-
Adams Allen		Coshocton Crawford	\$1.85 1.86	
Ashland	1.85	Cuyahoga		
Ashtabula		Darke	1.84	
Athens Auglaize		Defiance Delaware		-
Belmont		Erie		
Brown	1.83	Fairfield	1,85	53 53
Butler Carroll	. 1.83 . 1.85	Fayette Franklin		52 51
Champaign		Fulton		50
Clark	1.83	Gallia	1.83	1 "
Clinton		Geauga	1.86	-
Clinton Columbiana _		Greene Guernsey		.
			_, _,	į

Onio-Conf	inued		ŕ
. Rate per		ate pe	
		ushel	
County bushel Hamilton \$1.83	Auskingum _		
Hancock 1.86 I	Noble	1.8	4 Calun
Hardin 1.85 C	Noble Ottawa	1.8	7 Chipp
Harrison 1.85 I	Paulding Perry	1.8	
Henry 1.87 Highland 1.83 H	erry	1,8 1,8	
Highland 1.83 I Hocking 1.84 I	Pickaway Pike	1.8	
Holmes 1.85 E	Portage	1.8	
Huron 1.86 H	Preble	1.8	3 Door
	utnam		
	Richland		
	Ross Sandusky		
	Scioto		. 4
Licking 1.85 &	Seneca	. 1.8	
Logan 1.85 S	Shelby Stark	1.8	
Lorain 1.86 \$	Stark	1.8	
	Summit Frumbull		
	ruscarawas		· I
	Union		
Medina 1.86	Van Wert	. 1.8	
	Vinton		
	Warren		
Monroe 1.83	Washington _ Wavne		
	Williams		·
Morgan 1.84	Wood	. 1.8	37 Mara
Morrow 1.86	Wyandoț	. 1.8	
OKLAHO	MA		Marq Milwa
All counties		. \$1.7	6
PENNSYL	VANIA		(b)
All counties		. \$1.8	$_{10} \mid miun$
South Car			l brem
All counties		. \$1.8	this
South D			of eli
Rate per County bushel	County 1	ate pe	
Aurora \$1.72	Jerauld	. \$1.7	12 time
Beadle 1.72	Kingsbury		73 shall
Bon Homme _ 1.74	Lake	. 1.	74 on so
	Lincoln McCook		$\binom{6}{74}$ (2)
	Miner		case
Clay 1.75	Minnehaha	. 1.5	75 piica
Codington 1.72	Moody	. 1.7	74 appli
Davison 1.73	Roberts	. 1.7	
Dueul 1.73	Sanborn Turner	1.	7- `_'
Douglas 1.73 Grant 1.73	Union	1.7	76 503 50
	Yankton		75 41146
	All other		grote
Hutchinson 1.74	counties	1.7	71 recei
Tennes	SEE		agree prem
All counties		- \$1.8	33 rate
Texa	ន		(6)
All counties		. \$1.	76 Class
Virgin	JYA		rates
All counties		\$1.8	
West Vn	CINTA		Soyb
All counties		. \$1.8	80 less
Wiscon		•	class
			_ Soyb
Rate per County bushel		Rate p bushe	er 1 (2)
		- \$1.	
	Buffalo		
	L	. _	D
Test weight per bushel	Discount Spl	its I	Discount
			
72 3. 4	Cents per		ents per
Pounds 1 53.0-53.9	bushel Perce 1/2 20.1-2	25.0	bushel Po
52.0-52.9	1/2 20. 1-2 1 25. 1-3 1/2 30. 1-3	30. Ö	1 0
51.0-51.9	1½ 30.1-2 2 35.1-	55. U 10. 0	$\frac{11}{2}$ $\frac{1}{1}$
49.0-49.9	21/2		2
i '			

Average Average untyvalueCounty value __ \$1.78 __ \$1.81 ett Monroe met _____ 1.82 Oconto ____ 1.80 Oneida _____ pewa ____ 1.79 Outagamie __ 1.81 mbia ____ 1.84 Ozaukee ____ 1.85 Pepin _____ 1.83 1.80 ford ____ 1.85 Pierce _____ 1.80 _----Polk ----e _____ 1.80 Portage ____ 1.81 Price _____Racine ____ las ____ 1.78 1.78 1.80 1.88 i -----Claire ---1.80 Richland ____ du Lac... 1.84 Rock _____ 1.87 t -----1.84 Rusk _____ St. Croix____ 1.86 1.79 Sauk _____ Sawyer ____ 1.83 n Lake___ 1.83 _____ Shawano ____ on 1.81 1.80 1.84 son 1,86 Sheboygan ... Taylor _____ Trempealeau _ 1.82 1.78 au ...___ sha ____ 1.80 Vernon Walworth Washburn Washington unee ___ 1.80 1.82 crosse____ 1.88 1.78 1.81 yette ____ 1.85 lade ____ 1.79 1.85 1.78 Waukesha ___ 1.86 towoc __ 1.82 Waupaca ____ 1.81 1.79 1.79 nette ___ Waushara ---1.82 1.82 Winnebago __ uette ___ 1.83 1.86 Wood -----

Wisconsin-Continued

) Application of discounts and prens. The cumulative discounts and niums contained in paragraph (c) of section shall be applied as follows:

Farm-storage loans. In the case igible soybeans placed under farmage loans, the applicable discounts I be applied to the basic rate at the the loan is completed. Premiums be applied at the time of settlement oybeans delivered to CCC.

) Warehouse-storage loans. In the of warehouse-storage loans, the apble discounts and premiums shall be ied to the basic rate at the time the is completed.

) Purchase agreements. On eligible eans delivered from farm-storage er purchase agreements and on elisoybeans represented by warehouse ipts tendered to CCC under purchase ements, the applicable discounts and niums shall be applied to the basic at the time of settlement.

Discounts and premiums. (1)

sification discount: The support s for soybeans of the classes Black eans, Brown Soybeans, and Mixed peans shall be 25 cents per bushel than the support rates for the ses Green Soybeans and Yellow

) Discounts for test weight per nel, splits, damaged kernels, and ign material:

Test weight per bushel	Discount	Splits	Discount			Foreign	Discount	
		-		Heat	Total	-	Material	
Pounds 1 33,0-53,9	1112	Percent 1 20. 1-25. 0 25. 1-30. 0 30. 1-35. 0 35. 1-40. 0	Cents per bushel 1/2 1 11/2 2	Percent 1 0.6-0.7 0.8-1.0 1.1-1.5 1.6-2.1 2.2-3.0	Percent 1 3.1-4.0 4.1-5.0 5.1-6.0 6.1-7.0 7.1-8.0	Cents per bushel 1 11/2 2 21/2	3.6-4.0	Cents per bushel 1 2 3 4 5

¹ The figures in these columns are inclusive. ² Use column which yields the higher applicable discount.

(3) Premiums for low moisture content:

(4) Premium for low foreign material content: A premium of 2 cents per bushel shall be applicable to eligible soybeans containing 1 percent or less foreign material.

(5) The discounts and premiums contained in this paragraph (c) are cumulative and are applicable to all classes of sowhears.

soybeans. (d) Applicability of weed control provisions. Where the State committee determines that State, district or county weed control laws, as administered, affect the soybean crop, the support rate in the case of farm storage shall be 10 cents below the applicable county support rate for the county in which the soybeans were produced unless the producer obtains a certificate from the appropriate weed control official indicating that the soybeans comply with the weed control laws. In the case of warehouse storage, whenever the State committee of the State in which the soybeans are stored determines that State, district or county weed control laws, as administered, affect soybeans stored in approved warehouses, the rate shall be 10 cents below the applicable support rate for the county in which the soybeans were produced unless the producer obtains a certificate from either the appropriate State, county or district weed control official or the storing warehouseman that the soybeans comply with the weed control laws, and in the case of the warehouseman, that he will save CCC harmless from loss or penalty because of the weed control laws. The certificate of the warehouseman may be in substantially the following form:

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the grain evidenced by warehouse receipt No. ______ issued to ______ is not subject to seizure or other action under weed control laws or regulations in effect at point of storage. It is further certified and agreed that should such grain be taken over by CCC in settlement of a loan or be purchased under the purchase agreement program that the undersigned will save CCC from loss or penalty under weed control laws or regulations in effect at the point the grain was stored under the above warehouse receipt.

(Signature) (Address)

(Date)

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 62 Stat. 1072; secs. 203, 301, 401, 63 Stat. 1053, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714c, 7 U.S.C. 1446d, 1447, 1421)

Issued this 21st day of July 1959.

CLARENCE D. PALMBY, Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6107; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

· [Valencia Orange Reg. 175]

PART 922 — VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIG-NATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

§ 922.475 Valencia Orange Regulation 175.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement and Order No. 22, as amended (7 CFR Part 922), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seg.; 68 Stat. 906, 1047), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said marketing agreement and order, as amended, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Valencia oranges as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (60 Stat. 237; 5 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time: and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Valencia oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Valencia oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on July 23, 1959.

(b) Order. (1) The respective quantities of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., July 26, 1959, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., August 2, 1959, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: Unlimited movement;(ii) District 2: 785,400 cartons;

(iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) All Valencia oranges handled during the period specified in this section are subject also to all applicable size restrictions which are in effect pursuant to this part during such period.

(3) As used in this section, "handled," "handler," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said marketing agreement and order, as amended.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: July 24, 1959.

S. R. SMITH, Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6218; Filed, July 24, 1959; 11:23 a.m.]

[Peach Order 1]

PART 940—PEACHES GROWN IN MESA COUNTY, COLO.

Regulation by Grades and Sizes § 940.311 Peach Order 1.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 40, as amended (7 CFR Part 940), regulating the handling of peaches grown in the County of Mesa in the State of Colorado, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the Administrative Committee, established under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of such peaches, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (60 Stat. 237; 5 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.) in that, as hereinafter set forth, the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient: a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective not later than July 27, 1959. A reasonable determination as to the supply of, and the demand for, such peaches must await the development of the crop and adequate information thereon was not available to the Administrative Committee until July 17, 1959; recommendations as to the need for, and the extent of, regulation of shipments of such peaches were made by said committee on July 17, 1959, after consideration of all information then available relative to the supply and demand conditions for such peaches, at which time the recommendations and supporting information were submitted to the Department, and made available to growers and handlers: shipments of some of the early varieties of the current crop of peaches have begun in light volume, and shipments in heavy volume are expected to begin shortly, and this section should be applicable, insofar as practicable, to all shipments of such peaches in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act; and compliance with this section will not require of handlers any preparation therefor which cannot be completed by the effective time hereof.

(b) Order. (1) During the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., m.s.t., July 27, 1959, and ending at 12:01 a.m., m.s.t., October 11, 1959, no handler shall ship:

(i) Any peaches of any variety which do not grade at least U.S. No. 1;

(ii) Any peaches of the Early Elberta, Gleason Elberta, Sullivan Elberta, or Golden Jubilee varieties which are of a size smaller than 2 inches in diameter: Provided, That any lot of peaches shall be deemed to be of a size not smaller than 2 inches in diameter (a) if not more than 10 percent, by count, of the peaches in such lot are smaller than 2 inches in diameter; and (b) if not more than 15 percent, by count, of the peaches contained in any individual container in such lot are smaller than 2 inches in diameter; or

(iii) Any varieties of peaches other than the Early Elberta, Gleason Elberta, Sullivan Elberta, and Golden Jubilee varieties which are of a size smaller than 2½ inches in diameter: Provided, That any lot of peaches shall be deemed to be of a size not smaller than 2½ inches in diameter (a) if not more than 10 percent, by count of the peaches in such lot are smaller than 2½ inches in diameter; and (b) if not more than 15 percent, by count, of the peaches contained in any individual container in such lot are smaller than 2½ inches in diameter.

(3) Definitions. As used herein, "peaches," "handler," "ship," and "varieties" shall have the same meaning as when used in the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order; "U.S. No. 1," "diameter," and "count" shall have the same meaning as when used in the United States Standards for Peaches (§§ 51.1210 to 51.1223 of this title).

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: July 22, 1959.

S. R. SMITH,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6138; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:49 s.m.]

[Lemon Reg. 802]

PART 953—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

§ 953.909 Lemon Regulation 802.

(a) Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 53, as amended (7 CFR Part 953; 23 F.R. 9053), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.; 68 Stat. 906, 1047), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons as hereinafter provided will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (60 Stat. 237; 5 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based becomes available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on July 22, 1959.

(b) Order. (1) The respective quantities of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period beginning at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., July 26, 1959, and ending at 12:01 a.m., P.s.t., August 2, 1959, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: Unlimited movement:

(ii) District 2: 325,500 cartons:

(iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.
(2) As used in this section, "handled,"
"District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and
"carton" have the same meaning as when
used in the said amended marketing
agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: July 23, 1959.

S. R. SMITH,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6193; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:59 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency

SUBCHAPTER C—AIRCRAFT REGULATIONS
[Regulatory Docket No. 72; Amdt. 31]

PART 507—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Miscellaneous Amendments

As a result of the complete loss of hydraulic fluid in the utility hydraulic system of a Boeing 707–100 series aircraft and possibility of fuel selector valve failure on certain Mooney M–20 and M–20A aircraft, inspection and replacement are required.

Airworthiness directive 59-5-5, Hiller UH-12 series helicopters, is revised to extend the compliance date for replacement of cyclic control lower scissor castings with a new forging.

To allow Piper PA-24 owners additional time, due to circumstances beyond their control, the compliance date for airworthiness directive 59-12-9 is extended

For the reasons stated above, the Administrator finds that corrective action is required in the interest of safety, that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than 30 days notice.

In consideration of the foregoing \$507.10(a) is amended as follows:

- 1. 59-5-5 Hiller UH-12 series helicopters as it appeared in 24 F.R. 3754 is revised by changing items (2) and (3) to read as follows:
- (2) Effective September 1, 1959, P/N 34141 casting (including basic number and all dash numbers) must be removed from service prior to accumulation of 275 hours total time.
- (3) Upon replacement with a new forging P/N 34158, the special inspection of item (1) may be discontinued. This forging has unlimited service life.
- 2. 59-12-9 Piper PA-24 and PA-24 "250" aircraft as it appeared in 24 F.R. 5290 is revised by changing the compliance date to read: "Compliance required not later than July 30, 1959."
- 3. The following new airworthiness directives are added:

59-14-1 BOEING. Applies to the following Boeing 707-100 Series aircraft Serial Numbers 17586 through 17591, 17609, 17628 through 17637 and 17658 through 17662.

Compliance required as indicated.

As a result of the complete loss of hydraulic fluid in the utility hydraulic system of a 707-100 series aircraft caused by the rupture of the aluminum tube assembly in the nose wheel well door-open system, the following modification shall be accomplished. Frior to July 31, 1959, the nose wheel door-open aluminum tube assembly 50-6848-45 shall be replaced with corrosion resistant steel tubing 3% inch O.D. by 0.028 inch wall thickness.

(Boeing Service Bulletin No. 412 pertains to this same subject.)

59-14-2 Mooney. Applies to Mooney M-20 airplanes Serial Numbers 1175 through 1200 and M-20A airplanes Serial Numbers 1201 through 1375. Compliance required prior to August 1, 1959.

To guard against fuel selector valve failure and inability to change selector valve position the following inspection and replacement is required prior to August 1, 1959. Replacement valves obtained from the factory after April 27, 1959, and installed need not comply with this AD.

(a) Remove fuel tank selector valve.

(b) Remove lower part of valve housing and remove plastic core.

(c). Determine if the shaft and plastic core are pinned together. If not, replace complete valve assembly with replacement valve

P/N 311-221-1/4D obtained from Mooney.

(Mooney Service Letter 20-48 concerns this

subject.)

This amendment shall become effective immediately.

(Sec. 313(a), 601, 603; 72 Stat. 752, 775, 776; 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 21, 1959.

E. R. QUESADA,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6109; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

[Docket 7353 c.o.]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

Kennebec Mills Corp. et al.

Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling: § 13.1190 Composition: Wool Products Labeling Act. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1845 Composition: Wool Products Labeling Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended, secs. 2-5, 54 Stat. 1128-1130; 15 U.S.C. 45, 68-68(c)) [Cease and desist order. Kennebec Mills Corporation (Fairfield, Maine) et al., Docket 7353, June 27, 1959]

In the Matter of Kennebec Mills Corporation, a Corporation, and R. G. Fromkin Co., Inc., a Corporation, and Robert G. Fromkin, Individually and as an Officer of Said Corporation

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the

Commission charging two affiliated manufacturers with offices in Fairfield, Maine, and New York City, respectively, with violating the Wool Products Labeling Act by tagging as "50% reprocessed wool, 50% wool," fabrics which contained a substantial quantity of fibers other than wool, and by failing to label certain wool products as required.

After acceptance of an agreement for a consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on June 27 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Kennebec Mills Corporation, a corporation, and its officers, and R. G. Fromkin Co., Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Robert G. Fromkin, individually and as an officer of said corporations, and respondents' agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction or manufacture for introduction into commerce, or the offering for sale, sale, transportation, or distribution in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act and the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939 of woolen fabrics or other "wool products" as such products are defined in, and subject to the said Wool Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from misbranding such products by:

- 1. Falsely or deceptively stamping, tagging, labeling or otherwise identifying such products as to the character or amounts of the constituent fibers contained therein.
- 2. Failing to securely affix to or place on each such product a stamp, tag, label, or other means of identification showing in a clear and conspicuous manner:
- (a) The percentage of the total weight of such wool product, exclusive of ornamentation not exceeding five percentum of said total fiber weight, of (1) wool, (2) reprocessed wool, (3) reused wool, (4) each fiber other than wool where said percentage, by weight of such fiber, is five percentum or more, and (5) the aggregate of all other fibers;

(b) The maximum percentage of the total weight of such wool products of any non-fibrous loading, filling, or adulterating matter;

(c) The name or the registered identification number of the manufacturer of such wool product or of one or more persons engaged in introducing such wool product into commerce, or in the offering for sale, sale, transportation, distribution or delivery for shipment thereof in commerce, as "commerce" in defined in the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939.

It is further ordered, That respondents Kennebec Mills Corporation, a corporation, and its officers, and R. G. Fromkin Co., Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Robert G. Fromkin, individually and as an officer of said corporations, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of woolen fabrics or

any other products in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from misrepresenting the constituent fibers of which their products are composed or the percentages or amounts thereof, in sales invoices, shipping memoranda, or in any other manner.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: June 26, 1959.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT M. PARRISH, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6116; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:46 a.m.]

[Docket 7336 c.o.]

PART 13—DIGEST OF CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS

Stephen-George Wholesale Furs et al.

Subpart—Invoicing products falsely: § 13.1108 Invoicing products falsely: Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling: § 13.1212 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements: Fur Products Labeling Act. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1852 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements: Fur Products Labeling Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 8, 65 Stat. 179; 15 U.S.C. 45, 691) [Cease and desist order, George Schwartz et al. trading as Stephen-George Wholesale Furs, Minneapolis, Minn., Docket 7336, June 27, 1959]

In the Matter of George Schwartz and Stephen Lisle; Individually and as Copartners Trading as Stephen-George Wholesale Furs

This proceeding was heard by a hearing examiner on the complaint of the Commission charging a furrier in Minneapolis, Minn., with violating the Fur Products Labeling Act by failing to comply with the labeling and invoicing requirements.

Based on an agreement containing a consent order, the hearing examiner made his initial decision and order to cease and desist which became on June 27 the decision of the Commission.

The order to cease and desist is as follows:

It is ordered, That George Schwartz and Stephen Lisle, individually and as copartners, trading as Stephen-George Wholesale Furs, or under any other name, and respondents' representatives, agents, and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction,

manufacture for introduction, or the sale, advertising or offering for sale in commerce, or the transportation or distribution in commerce, of fur products, or in connection with the sale, manufacture for sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation or distribution of fur products which have been made in whole or in part of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce, as "commerce", "fur", and "fur product" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

A. Misbranding fur products by:

1. Failing to affix labels to fur products showing:

(a) The name or names of the animal or animals producing the fur or furs contained in the fur product as set forth in the Fur Products Name Guide and as prescribed under the rules and regulations;

(b) That the fur product contains or is composed of used fur, when such is

the fact;

- (c) That the fur product contains or is composed of bleached, dyed, or otherwise artificially colored fur, when such is the fact;
- (d) That the fur product is composed in whole or in substantial part of paws, tails, bellies, or waste fur, when such is the fact;
- (e) The name, or other identification issued and registered by the Commission, of one or more persons who manufactured such fur product for introduction into commerce, introduced it into commerce, sold it in commerce, advertised or offered it for sale in commerce, or transported or distributed it in commerce;

(f) The name of the country of origin of any imported furs contained in a fur product.

2. Setting forth on labels affixed to fur products:

- (a) Information required under section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in abbreviated form:
- (b) Information required under section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations thereunder, mingled with nonrequired information.
- 3. Failing to set forth the information required under section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in the required sequence.
- * B. Falsely or deceptively invoicing fur products by:
- 1. Failing to furnish purchasers of fur products invoices showing:
- (a) The name or names of the animal or animals producing the fur or furs contained in the fur products, as set forth in the Fur Products Name Guide and as prescribed under the rules and regulations;
- (b) That the fur product contains or is composed of used fur, when such is the fact:
- (c) That the fur product contains or is composed of bleached, dyed, or otherwise artificially colored fur, when such is the fact;

(d) That the fur product is composed in whole or in substantial part of paws, tails, bellies, or waste fur, when such is the fact;

(e) The name and address of the person issuing such invoice;

(f) The name of the country of origin of any imported furs contained in a fur product.

2. Setting forth information required under section 5(b) (1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder in abbreviated form.

By "Decision of the Commission", etc., report of compliance was required as follows:

It is ordered, That the above-named respondents shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with the order to cease and desist.

Issued: June 8, 1959. By the Commission.

> ROBERT M. PARRISH, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6117; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:46 a.m.]

Title 17—COMMODITY AND SECURITIES EXCHANGES

Chapter I—Commodity Exchange Authority (Including Commodity Exchange Commission), Department of Agriculture

PART 2—SPECIAL PROVISIONS AP-PLICABLE TO GRAINS, FLAXSEED, AND SOYBEANS

PART 9—SPECIAL PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO FATS

PART 10—SPECIAL PROVISIONS
APPLICABLE TO OILS

PART 11—SPECIAL PROVISIONS AP-PLICABLE TO COTTONSEED MEAL AND SOYBEAN-MEAL

Correction of Amendments of June 18, 1959

The amendments issued June 18, 1959, published in the Federal Register dated June 23, 1959 (23 F.R. 5117), deleting paragraph (e) from §§ 2.01, 9.01, 10.01, and 11.01 of Parts 2, 9, 10, and 11, Chapter I, Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations (17 CFR 2.01, 9.01, 10.01, 11.01), should also have added the word "and" after the semicolon at the end of paragraph (c), and deleted the semicolon and the word "and" at the end of paragraph (d) and substituted a period in lieu thereof, in each of the paragraph sections, and the said amendments are corrected accordingly.

These corrections consist of editorial

changes only and do not affect the substantive provisions of the regulations.

CLARENCE L. MILLER,
Assistant Secretary.

JULY 22, 1959.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6141; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:49 a.m.]

PART_3—SPECIAL PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO COTTON

Reports on Form 300

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture under the Commodity Exchange Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1958 ed., Sections 1-17a), and pursuant to notice published in the Federal Register on June 17, 1959 (24 F.R. 4924), § 3.02, Part_3, Chapter 1, Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations (17 CFR 3.02), is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 3.02 Time and place of filing reports on Form 300.

Unless otherwise authorized in writing by the Commodity Exchange Authority upon good cause shown, reports required to be made on Form 300 shall be filed in the office of the Commodity Exchange Authority in the city where the contract market covered by the report is located as soon as possible after the close of the market on each business day and not later than 30 minutes before the official opening of the market on the next following business day, or 9:30 a.m. on such following business day, whichever is earlier.

This amendment shall become effective 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

Issued July 22, 1959.

CLARENCE L. MILLER,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6142; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS
[Public Land Order 1912]
[81200]

CALIFORNIA

Order of Opening Under Section 24 of the Federal Power Act

1. In DA 751 and 758, California, issued August 21, 1951, the Federal Power Commission determined that the value of the following-described lands withdrawn in Power Site Reserve No. 471 would not be injured or destroyed for purposes of power development by location, entry, or selection under the public land laws, subject to the provisions of Section 24 of the Federal Power Act:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 16 S., R. 28 E. Sec. 11, lots 1 and 8; Sec. 12, lots 1 and 4; 13, SE14NW14, W1/2SE14, and S1/2 sw¼; Sec. 23;

Sec. 24, E1/2, N1/2NW1/4, and E1/2SW1/4; Sec. 26, NW1/4 and SE1/4.

The areas described aggregate 2,351.94 acres.

2. Lot 8 in sec. 11 and lots 1 and 4 in sec. 12, are within the boundaries of the Sequoia National Park.

3. The State of California has waived preference right of application granted to it by subsection (c) of section 2 of the act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851, 852), and under section 24 of the Federal Power Act.

- 4. By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920 (41 Stat. 1075; 16 U.S.C. 818) as amended, the lands outside the Sequoia National Park are hereby opened to application, petition, location and selection under the public land laws, subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawals, the requirements of applicable laws, to the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act, and the 91-day preference right filing period for veterans and others entitled to preference under the act of September 27, 1944 (58 Stat. 747; 43 U.S.C. 279-284) as amended. Applications by veterans and others claiming preference, filed at or before 10:00 a.m. on August 25, 1959, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Such applications thereafter filed, but before 10:00 a.m. on October 20, 1959, shall be considered in the order of filing.
- 5. Applications by the general public received at or before 10:00 a.m. on October 20, 1959, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Such applications thereafter filed shall be considered in the order of filing.

6. The lands have been open to location under the United States mining laws and to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Sacramento, California.

ROGER ERNST, Assistant Secretary of the Interior. JULY 20. 1959.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6119; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:46 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 1913]

[Oregon 06700]

OREGON

Partially Revoking the Reclamation Withdrawal of December 14, 1926 (Vale Project)

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by section 3 of the act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. No. 145-2

follows:

1. The departmental order of December 14, 1926, which withdrew lands for reclamation purposes in the first form in connection with the Vale Project, Oregon, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following-described lands:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

T. 19 S., R. 43 E., Sec. 20, NE1/4, and E1/2 SE1/4.

The areas described aggregate 240 acres.

2. The SE¼NE¼ and NE¼SE¼ of sec. 20, T. 19 S., R. 43 E., are within Federal Power Project No. 985.

3. The State of Oregon has waived the preference right of application granted to it by subsection (c) of section 2 of the act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928: 43 U.S.C. 851, 852).

4. The lands are rolling to rough. The vegetative cover consists of big sagebrush, cheatgrass, mustard, and low annual weeds. The soil is a clay loam about 10 inches deep, intermingled with rocks in places.

5. No application for the restored lands may be allowed under the homestead, desert-land, small tract, or any other nonmineral public land law unless the lands have already been classified as valuable or suitable for such type of application, or shall be so classified upon the consideration of an application. Any application that is filed will be considered on its merits. The lands will not be subject to occupancy or disposition until they have been classified.

6. Subject to any valid existing rights and the requirements of applicable law. the lands are hereby opened to filing of applications, selections, and locations in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws may be presented to the Manager mentioned below, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications and selections will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications under the Homestead, Desert Land, and Small Tract Laws by qualified veterans of World War II or of the Korean Conflict, and by others entitled to preference rights under the act of September 27, 1944 (58 Stat. 747; 43 U.S.C. 279-284 as amended), presented prior to 10:00 a.m. on August 25, 1959, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such preference right applications filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

(3) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public-land

388; 43 U.S.C. 416), it is ordered as laws, other than those coming under paragraphs (1) and (2) above, presented prior to 10:00 a.m. on November 24, 1959, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by the time of

b. The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral-leasing laws. They will be open to location under the United States mining laws beginning at 10:00 a.m. on November 24,

7. Persons claiming veterans preference rights must enclose with their applications proper evidence of military or naval service, preferably a complete photostatic copy of the certificate of honorable discharge. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Portland, Oregon.

ROGER ERNST. Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

JULY 20, 1959.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6120; Filed, July 24, 1959;

Title 46—SHIPPING

Chapter I—Coast Guard, Department of the Treasury

SUBCHAPTER S—NUMBERING OF UNDOCU-MENTED VESSELS, STATISTICS ON NUMBER-ING, AND "BOATING ACCIDENT REPORTS" AND ACCIDENT STATISTICS

ICGFR 59-321

PART 172-NUMBERING REQUIRE-MENTS UNDER ACT OF JUNE 7, 1918

Subpart 172.25—Termination Requirements

UTAH SYSTEM OF NUMBERING APPROVED

Acting under the authority delegated by Treasury Department Order 167-32, dated September 23, 1958 (23 F.R. 7605), the Commandant, United States Coast Guard, on July 13, 1959, approved the Utah system for the numbering of motorboats, which was established pursuant to the Federal Boating Act of 1958.

As provided in this approval, the Utah system shall be operative on and after Monday, July 20, 1959. On that date the authority to number motorboats principally used in the State of Utah will pass to that State and simultaneously the Coast Guard will discontinue numbering such motorboats. Those motorboats presently numbered should continue to display the Coast Guard number until renumbered by Utah. On and after July 20, 1959, all reports of "boating accidents" which involve motorboats numbered in Utah will be required to be reported to the Supervisor of Boating, Utah State Park and Recreation Commission, pursuant to the regulations prescribed under the 1959 Utah Boating Act.

Because § 172.25–15(a) (3), as set forth in this document, is an informative rule about official actions performed by the Commandant, it is hereby found that compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act (respecting notice of proposed rule making, public rule making procedures thereon, and effective date requirements thereof) is unnecessary.

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Commandant, United States Coast Guard, by Treasury Department Orders 120, dated July 31, 1950 (15 F.R. 6521), and 167–17, dated June 29, 1955 (20 F.R. 4976), to promulgate rules in accordance with the statutes cited with the informative rule below, the following § 172.25–15(a) (3) is prescribed and shall be in effect on and after the date set forth therein:

§ 172.25-15 Effective dates for approved State systems of numbering.

(a) * * *

(3) Utah—July 20, 1959.

(Sec. 3, 60 Stat. 238, and sec. 633, 63 Stat. 545; 5 U.S.C. 1002, 14 U.S.C. 633)

Dated: July 20, 1959.

[SEAL] J. A. HIRSHFIELD, Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commandant.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6143; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:50 a.m.]

Title 49—TRANSPORTATION

Chapter I—Interstate Commerce Commission

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[No. 4844]

PART 31-BILLS OF LADING

Form of Domestic Bill of Lading

At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 9th day of July A.D. 1959.

It appearing that the contract terms and conditions of the uniform bill of lading as published in the Code of Federal Regulations, 49 CFR, 1938 ed., 31.2, and as referred to in 49 CFR, 1949 ed., 31.2, prescribe a six-month period for the filing of claims with carriers on domestic traffic as a condition precedent to the recovery for loss, damage, injury, or delay to property while in the possession of carriers, whereas the bill-of-lading provisions as published in the freight classifications of carriers generally provide for a nine-month period, and that certain other material inconsistencies exist:

It is ordered, That this proceeding be, and it is hereby, reopened for the limited purpose of considering these inconsistencies.

It appearing that the Code of Federal Regulations (49 CFR 31) refers, in § 31.2, to the use of the bill-of-lading form ap-

proved by this Commission in Appendix B of its report in this proceeding, 52 I.C.C. 671, as subsequently modified by later reports, wherein section 2(b) of the bill-of-lading contract terms and conditions provides for a six-month period within which to file such claims on domestic traffic, and that, by act of April 23, 1930, 46 Stat. 251, it was made unlawful for any common carrier to provide for a period shorter than nine months for the filing of such claims,

It further appearing that the said § 31.2 of the Code of Federal Regulations (49 CFR 31.2) refers to the York-Antwerp Rules of 1890 as governing the general average liability for loss of or damage to export traffic, whereas in a report on further hearing herein, 156 LC.C. 188 (1929), this Commission approved the substitution of the York-Antwerp Rules of 1924 for that purpose,

And it further appearing that the carriers, in the publication of said contract terms and conditions in the classifications, have complied with the abovementioned statute and Commission report regarding the provisions for general average liability; and that since the changes in existing regulations are merely corrections made to conform with the above-mentioned statute and Commission report, rulemaking procedures as required by section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003) are deemed unnecessary:

It is ordered, That 49 CFR, 1949 ed., 31.2, which refers to Appendix D; form of domestic (straight) bill of lading in 49 CFR, 1938 ed., 31.2 have the following corrective note added:

§ 31.2 Appendix D; form of domestic (straight), bill of lading prescribed.

(See 49 CFR, 1938 ed., 31.2.)

Note: Section 2(b) of the bill-of-lading contract terms and conditions is corrected to indicate a nine-month period within which to file claims with carriers on domestic as well as export traffic as a condition precedent to the recovery for loss, damage, injury, or delay to property while in the possession of carriers in accordance with the Act of April 23, 1930, 46 Stat. 251.

Section 9(d) of the bill-of-lading contract terms and conditions is corrected to indicate that the York-Antwerp Rules of 1924 governing the general average liability for loss of or damage to export traffic as approved in 156 I.C.C. 188 (1929) are substituted for the York-Antwerp Rules of 1890.

Note: Derived from 52 I.C.C. 671 (Appendix B); 64 I.C.C. 357, Appendix D; 66 I.C.C. 63, 167 I.C.C. 214; 172 I.C.C. 362; 245 I.C.C. 527, 6 F.R. 2912.

(Sec 12, 24 Stat. 383, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 12. Interpret or apply sec. 1, 24 Stat. 379, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1)

It is further ordered, That notice of this order be given to the public by depositing a copy thereof with the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

And it is further ordered, That this proceeding be, and it is hereby, discontinued.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCoy, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6122; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:46 a.m.]

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Internal Revenue Service
I 26 CFR (1954) Part 1 1

INCOME TAX; TAXABLE YEARS BE-GINNING AFTER DECEMBER 31, 1953

Notice of Hearing on Proposed Regulations

Proposed amendments to the regulations under subchapters A and B of chapter 6 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, relating to consolidated income tax returns, were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER for Tuesday, July 21, 1959.

A public hearing on the proposed regulations will be held on Thursday, August 13, 1959, at 10:00 a.m., e.d.st., in Room 3313, Internal Revenue Building, 12th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. Persons who plan to attend the hearing are requested to so notify the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Attention: T:P, Washington 25, D.C. by August 10, 1959.

[SEAL] MAURICE LEWIS,
Director, Technical Planning
Division, Internal Revenue Service.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6134; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:48 a.m.]

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 1003]

DOMESTIC DATES PRODUCED OR PACKED IN DESIGNATED AREA OF CALIFORNIA

Notice of Proposed Rule Making With Respect to Establishing Free, Restricted, and Withholding Percentages for 1959–60 Crop Year

Notice is hereby given that there is being considered the establishment for the 1959–60 crop year beginning August 1, 1959, of free, restricted, and withholding percentages for marketable dates of the Deglet Noor, Zahidi and Khadrawy varieties, as hereinafter set forth. The proposed percentages, which are based on the unanimous recommendation of the Date Administrative Committee and other available information, would be established in accordance with the applicable provisions of Marketing Agreement No. 127, as amended, and Order No. 103, as amended (7 CFR Part 1003), regulating the handling of domestic dates produced or packed in a designated area of California, effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674).

Consideration will be given to any data, views, or arguments pertaining to the proposal which are filed in triplicate with the Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C., and received not later than the close of business on the eighth day after publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

The proposed percentages for marketable dates of the Deglet Noor, Zahidi, and Khadrawy varieties are based on the following estimates of the Date Administrative Committee and other available information:

In thousand pounds]

Factors	Deglet Noor	Zahidi	Khad- rawy
Uncertified handler carry- over (July 31, 1959) Production of marketable dates (1959-60 crop year).	4,075 33,440	41 1,334	51 630
3. Total available supply of marketable dates subject to regulation	37, 515	1,375	681
4. Trade demand	24, 500 5, 500	1, 290 100	695 100
(July 31, 1959)	2, 469	9	103
7. Requirements for free dates (item 4 plus item 5 minus item 6)	27, 531	1,381	692
8. Marketable dates in excess of requirements for free dates (item 3 minus item 7)	9, 984		

The indicated free poundage of Deglet Noor dates is 73.4 percent of the estimated total available supply of such variety of dates subject to regulation. The committee unanimously recommended that the free percentage be rounded to 73 percent, making the restricted percentage 27 percent and the withholding percentage 37 percent.

The estimates for the Zahidi and Khadrawy varieties of dates do not indicate the need for establishing the respective free percentage for marketable dates of such varieties at a percentage less than 100 percent. As a result, no volume restrictions would be in effect for such varieties of dates.

The proposal is as follows:

§ 1003.207 Free, restricted, and withholding percentages.

The free percentage, restricted percentage, and withholding percentage of marketable dates for each variety shall be, for the crop year beginning August 1, 1959, and ending July 31, 1960, as follows: (a) Deglet Noor variety dates: Free percentage, 73 percent; restricted percentage, 27 percent; and withholding percentage, 37 percent; (b) Zahidi variety dates: Free percentage 100 percent; restricted percentage, 0 percent; and withholding percentage, 0 percent; and (c) Khadrawy variety dates: Free percentage, 100 percent; restricted per-

centage, 0 percent; and withholding percentage, 0 percent.

Dated: July 21, 1959.

S. R. SMITH, Director. Fruit and Vegetable Division.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6140; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:49 a.m.]

[7 CFR Part 1008] [Docket No. A0-275-A5]

MILK IN INLAND EMPIRE MARKETING AREA

Notice of Recommended Decision and Opportunity To File Written Exceptions to Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and to Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of . 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of the filing with the Hearing Clerk of this recommended decision of the Deputy Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and order regulating the handling of milk in the Inland Empire marketing area. Interested parties may file written exceptions to this decision with the Hearing Clerk, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., not later than the close of business the 10th day after publication of this decision in the FEDERAL REGISTER. exceptions should be filed in quadruplicate.

Preliminary statement. The hearing on the record of which the proposed amendments, as hereinafter set forth. to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, were formulated, was conducted at Spokane, Washington, on May 13, 1959, pursuant to notice thereof which was issued April 23, 1959 (24 F.R.

3298).

The material issues on the record of the hearing relate to:

- (1) Provision for the diversion of milk between pool plants by a cooperative association as a handler.
 - (2) Revision of location differentials.
- (3) Revision of the schedule monthly delivery percentages used in computing "new producer" bases.
- (4) Modification of the supply-demand adjuster in the Class I price formula.
- (5) An increase in the administrative expense assessment.
- (6) Revision of the provision governing the classification of transfers and diversions of milk to other pool plants and to nonpool plants.
- (7) Minor changes for order clarification.

Findings and conclusions. The following findings and conclusions on the material issues are based on evidence presented at the hearing and the record thereof:

(1) The definition of "handler" should be modified.

The present definition of handler includes a non-operating or bargainingtype, cooperative association with respect to milk diverted for the account of an association from a pool plant to a nonpool plant. One such association proposed that the definition be revised to include this type of association with respect to milk which it causes to be diverted from one pool plant to another pool plant.

Historically, and at present, the Inland Empire market primarily is a market for Class I milk. There are few facilities in the regulated plants for handling appreciable amounts of reserve milk over and above the quantities actually disposed of as Class I items.

The proponent bargaining-type cooperative, representing a substantial proportion of the producers, is obligated, under its membership contract, to find a market outlet for the milk of each member producer. In many instances the volume of milk requiring a different outlet is not sufficient to warrant the movement of the milk to a nonpool plant for disposal because the nearest of such plants is located at some distance from the Inland Empire market. A more economical means of disposition would be to divert the milk from its former pool plant outlet to another pool plant. At times, however, a pool plant may be reluctant to receive such milk since, under present order terms, the milk automatically becomes a part of the producer receipts of the plant when accepted there, and consequently increases the quantity of milk (in relation to receipts) which must be utilized in Class I to maintain the plant's status as a pool plant. This difficulty would be avoided if, as the proposal provides, the diverting association could accept responsibility for the milk as a handler and the milk could be omitted from the category of "producer milk" at the receiving pool plant.

It is concluded that a provision which will permit a nonoperating association the opportunity to divert milk from one pool plant to another for a period of 90 days in any instance will tend to promote orderly marketing and encourage more efficient use of the market supply. Since there is no apparent reason for a different method for classifying such diverted milk, it-should be classified on the same basis as other producer milk at the plant

where received.

(2) Price adjustments for location of plants should be revised.

Technological changes and efficiencies in the handling and transportation of milk, which have reduced the cost of moving milk from farms to the principal consuming center of the marketing area have taken place in the period since the present location differentials were established. This has resulted primarily

from the growth of the bulk tank system of delivery from farm to plant.

Milk delivered directly to a plant located at Spokane, the principal consuming center of this marketing area, which must draw its supplies from relatively long distances is worth more, at least by the cost of transportation, than is other milk to be utilized within the marketing area but delivered to a plant located at a considerable distance from Spokane where a lesser cost is involved in moving milk from farms to the plant. Location differentials represent reasonable allowances per hundredweight for moving milk from outlying supply plants at varying distances to plants in the major consuming center where the milk is pro-cessed for Class I uses. They reflect also the values of Class I milk at different locations in the milkshed in relation to its value at the principal center of consumption.

While transportation costs vary depending upon many factors, including the size of load, the schedule of location differentials proposed by the producer organizations are reasonably representative of present costs experienced in the movement of milk by over-the-road tank trucks in this area. Such proposed rates are in line also with the rates recently adopted for the Puget Sound marketing area where similar hauling services are available. In this connection official notice is taken of the June 26, 1959 decision of the Assistant Secretary on amendments to the Puget Sound order.

The schedule of rates proposed by producers should be modified, however, to fit the present rate schedule in the Inland Empire order, which sets forth rates by 10-mile zones for plant locations beyond 50 miles from the City Hall in Spokane, Washington. Thus, it is concluded that the rate of location differential for any plant located in a zone beyond such distance from Spokane should be computed at 2.0 cents per hundredweight of milk for each 10-mile zone (or major fraction thereof) for plants that are located beyond 50 miles but within a distance of 200 miles from Spokane, and an additional 1.0 cent per hundredweight for each 10 miles beyond a radius of 200 miles from Spokane.

(3) The provisions governing new producer bases should be revised.

The provisions relating to the establishment of bases for producers entering the market on their own volition for the first time, and providing an alternative method for establishing base for any producer who desires to cancel his base and be treated as a new producer under the limitations prescribed, should be revised.

The conditions under which a new producer might receive a base, or a regular producer might cancel base and be treated as a new producer in respect of his base, were set out in the original Inland Empire order and the reasons therefor were described in the initial decision issued by the Assistant Secretary on January 4, 1956, supporting the introduction of the order. Official notice is taken of the reasons set forth in such decision for the new producer base provision.

Since the percentage of delivery schedule on which new producer bases are computed was first established, the pattern of production has changed seasonally, and under present conditions producers are provided encouragement. under the provision, to cancel base in favor of treatment as new producers. The privilege of relinquishing base was included to relieve possible cases of hardship, but was not intended to offer the individual producer the means of general avoidance of the regular method of base computation, in order to gain an increased return at the expense of other producers. Unless revised, the present new producer base provisions would make the base plan increasingly ineffective.

The producers associations' proposal to reduce the schedule of delivery percentages 5 points during the months of December through March will reflect the change in the market-wide relationship between base milk to total receipts of producer milk in these months and will be in the interest of maintaining the effectiveness of the base plan. The proposal should be adopted to be effective for March 1960. This will provide reasonable advance notice to producers of the effective date.

(4) The supply-demand adjustment formula should be revised.

The present order contains a formula for the automatic adjustment of Class I prices as supply and demand conditions change in relation to a "norm". Under this formula a "current supply-demand ratio", indicating the relationship of producer supplies to Class I milk requirements during the second and third months preceding the month of application, is computed. This ratio then is compared with a predetermined ratio considered as normal (representing a balanced supply) for the corresponding months of the year, and for each percentage point of difference in the ratios. the Class I price is adjusted 5 cents per hundredweight up or down, as the case may be. Such formula was suspended effective February 1, 1959, for an indefinite period, pending review in this hearing, on a determination that the formula was resulting in erratic price movements not reflecting the longer-term trend in supply-demand relationships in the Inland Empire market, and if allowed to operate, would seriously threaten the market supply.

The difficulties which led to the suspension of the formula are reflected in the statistical material presented for the record. On an annual basis producer receipts were reasonably well equated with fluid sales in 1958, with 72.9 percent of producer milk utilized in Class I milk. Likewise, in 1957 the market was not unduly short of milk with 74.8 percent of producer milk in Class I milk. Even though in these two years the annual difference in the supply-demand relationship was not marked, the monthly and seasonal differences were quite significant when viewed in terms of an appropriate supply-demand formula which is expected at any given time to predict with reasonable accuracy the future trend in the supply-demand

situation. For example, in 1957 the percentages of producer milk utilized in Class I in January and February were 84.3 and 82.1 percent, respectively. In the same months of 1958, these percentages were 73.9 and 73.6, respectively. Conversely, in 1957 when the larger proportions of producer milk were used in Class I in January and February, relatively smaller percentages were so used in September and October. September and October 1957 percentages were 77.1 and 78.3, respectively, while the percentages for the corresponding months of 1958 were 80.5 and 84.5, respectively. In both years the November Class I utilization was 79.6 percent of producer milk, but while in 1957 the December percentage dropped 6 points from November, the December percentage in 1958 decreased only 2 points from the preceding month.

During the three months of lowest production relative to sales in 1958 (September, October, and November), the Class I percentage increased 4 points from September to October and decreased 5 points from October to November. In 1957 there was an increase of 1.3 points from September to October and another increase of 1.3 points from October to November. The flush season percentages likewise show little consistency. From April to May in 1957 the ratio decreased 12 points, whereas in 1958 a decrease of only 7 points took place. Although May and June percentages were at the same level for each year, respectively, the July percentage exceeded that of June by 4.8 points in 1957 and was higher by 10 points in 1958.

Because of limited manufacturing facilities and the uncertainty of future requirements for Class I milk, it is appropriate to continue to employ a price mover in the order to reflect the basic trends in the supply-sales relationship. and thereby to tend to equate as nearly as possible the producer receipts with the needs for inspected milk. A Class I utilization of 73 percent annually (with appropriate seasonal adjustments) may be considered to reflect an adequate but not burdensome producer milk supply in this market. Modification of the formula which was operative prior to February this year is necessary, however, to eliminate the erratic price adjustments which have resulted from time-to-time without significant changes in the longer-term relationship of supply to Class I sales. This may be accomplished by (a) revising the "standard utilization percentages", or norm, by providing a range (3 percentage points) within which the supply-sales relationship may fluctuate without any adjustment in price, and (b) reducing the initial rate of adjustment per percentage point of change in the supply-sales relationship from 5 cents to 1 cent per hundredweight, but providing an increase in the adjustment rate as sales continue to change in the same direction in relation to supplies. The establishment of the norm as "percentage ranges" will overcome the temporary aberrations in the supply-sales relationship which have resulted in undue price adjustments under the prior formula. This may be achieved without changing

the general character of the formula as a "two-month mover", i.e., an indicator based upon comparative changes in the supply-sales relationship of a recent two-month period with the normal range for the corresponding months.

To safeguard further against erratic price adjustments but to reflect promptly significant changes in the trend of sales to receipts, it is concluded that the rate of adjustment for variations from the standards adopted should be nominal when such variations first appear, but should be increased progressively as a variation of like direction and amount persists through two or three consecutive two-month periods. Such a provision will serve as a brake on the rapidity of price changes and will avoid substantial price increases or decreases based on short-term non-recurring deviations from the established norm. Substantial price adjustments would occur, however, whenever undersupply or oversupply representing a significant variation from the established norm persists for any period of time.

This is accomplished by providing that for each percentage point of deviation from the norm the price shall be adjusted one cent, plus one cent for each percentage point for which there was a deviation of like extent and character computed for the preceding month, plus an additional cent for each percentage point for which there was a deviation of like extent and character in the percentage computed for the second preceding month.

For the year 1958 it is estimated that the revised formula would have provided an average annual adjustment per hundredweight of Class I milk of less than minus one-cent per hundredweight as compared with the actual annual average adjustment of minus 20 cents per hundredweight pursuant to the formula then in effect. For the first five months of 1959, the revised formula would have resulted in zero adjustments each month. The prior formula resulted in a minus 10-cent adjustment in January this year but was suspended February 1 because of erratic results.

It is concluded that the supply-demand adjuster adopted herein will provide for appropriate adjustment of the Class I price under the conditions prevailing in the market. The maximum amount of price adjustment will continue to be 50 cents per hundredweight increase or decrease. There was no testimony to revise this limitation.

(5) The expense of administration assessment should be increased from 4 to 5 cents per hundredweight of milk.

It was proposed that the assessment on handlers to cover the expenses of administering the order be increased from 4 cents to 5 cents per hundredweight of milk

Salaries and most other costs of operating an office and an auditing staff have increased since the order first became fully effective on April 1, 1956. Typical increases in expense items are salaries, 10 percent; postage, 33½ percent; and travel, 10 percent. Additional expense obligations such as contributions to the employees' retirement system and costs involved in regulating more distant sup-

ply plants which have entered the market since the inception of the order also have been assumed. The reserve funds available to operate the market administrator's office until all order obligations are satisfied in the event of order liquidation have been nearly depleted.

dation have been nearly depleted.

The maintenance of the necessary functions and services financed by the administrative fund require additional monies. It is concluded, therefore, that the administrative expense assessment the administrative expense assessment should be increased from 4 to 5 cents per hundredweight. The hearing developed no opposition testimony to the proposal.

(6) The provisions governing interplant movements of milk should be revised.

It is customary for the larger handlers to service some of the smaller distributing plants under the order with milk from time to time when the latter are short of supply. When milk was received mostly in cans, it was impractical to divert milk to other pool plants without the milk being received first in the plant of the diverting handler. With the rapid change to bulk tank pick-up at the farms, the diversion of the milk to the second plant is more economical and convenient for the plant operators involved. To permit the diversion at this time will simplify the supply problem for plants having temporary shortages and will tend to promote more efficient utilization of the total market sup-The rules governing interplant movements of milk therefore are revised to accomplish this result.

The inadequacy of manufacturing facilities at pool plants sometimes requires the disposition of producer milk supplies to nonpool plants. The present order provides for such disposition, but requires an accounting as Class I milk of all transfers or diversions made to any nonpool plant engaged in the distribution of milk in fluid form. At times, however, the relatively few manufacturing-type plants available to which milk may be moved are supplied fully with milk from their own regular sources and are reluctant to accept milk from this market. This is particularly true in the season of flush production. Producers contend that it is desirable to provide that under certain conditions unneeded milk may be shipped in bulk to nonpool plants having Class I utilization, when it is necessary to do so, without such milk being classified as Class I milk. To achieve this it is proposed that if the nonpool plant, which distributes milk in fluid form, can show that it was adequately furnished with milk of the quality required for such use by the dairy farmers who constitute its regular source of supply or by supplies of milk classified as Class I milk under another Federal order, the transferred or diverted quantity should be permitted a Class II classification. In this connection it is noted that pursuant to the order for the Puget Sound marketing area, milk under such order moved to a nonpool plant outside such marketing area must be classified as Class I milk. Thus, the two orders in this region will be coordinated if disposition from both markets to the same nonpool plant occurs.

It is concluded that such a provision will tend to promote orderly marketing conditions for Inland Empire producers. Accordingly, appropriate language is included in the order.

(7) Clarification of language.

The phrase "receipts of milk qualified as described in § 1008.11" is employed in the definition of pool plant, as is the phrase "receipts of milk from producers". The inadvertent use of the two phrases in connection with the same definition has necessitated an administrative interpretation of their application. It is concluded that the definition should be clarified to remove any doubt as to the sources of milk to which specific reference is made in such provision.

Rulings on proposed findings and conclusions. A brief and proposed findings and conclusions were filed on behalf of an interested party in the market. This brief, proposed findings and conclusions, and the evidence in the record were considered in making the findings and conclusions set forth above. To the extent that the suggested findings and conclusions filed by such interested party are inconsistent with the findings and conclusions set forth herein, the requests to make such findings or reach such conclusions are denied for the reasons previously stated in this decision.

General findings. The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(b) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect market supply and demand for milk in the marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the proposed marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

Recommended marketing agreement and order amending the order. The following order amending the order regulating the handling of milk in the Inland Empire marketing area is recommended as the detailed and appropriate means by which the foregoing conclusions may be carried out. The recommended marketing agreement is not included in this decision because the regulatory provisions thereof would be the same as those contained in the order, as hereby proposed to be amended:

§ 1008.8 [Amendment]

1. Delete § 1008.8(a) and substitute therefor the following:

(a) Class I milk pursuant to § 1008.41 (a) (1), (2), and (3) in an amount not less than 20 percent of receipts thereat of milk from producers and from plants qualified under paragraph (b) of this section is distributed within the marketing area on routes (for the purpose of this section "route" shall mean a delivery to retail or wholesale outlets, including a delivery by a vendor or sale from a plant or plant store of milk or milk products classified as Class I milk pursuant to § 1008.41(a) (1), (2), and (3) other than a delivery to another pool plant): Provided, That the total quantity of Class I milk disposed of from such plant during the month, either inside or outside the marketing area on routes is not less than 40 percent of such plant's receipts of milk from producers and from plants qualified under paragraph (b) of this section in any of the months of February through August, inclusive, and not less than 50 percent of such receipts in any of the months of September through January, inclusive: And provided further, That for the purposes of computing the percentages specified in this section, plant receipts of milk from dairy farmers or producers, as the case may be, shall not include milk received by diversion in the manner described in § 1008.15(b) (2).

§ 1008.15 [Amendment]

2. Delete § 1008.15(b) and substitute therefor the following:

(b) Any cooperative association which is not a handler pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, with respect to producer milk caused to be diverted for its account (1) from a pool plant to a nonpool plant during any of the months of February through August, and (2) from one pool plant to another pool plant, but not exceeding a period of 90 consecutive days for any producer. Milk so diverted to another pool plant shall be deemed to have been received by the diverting association at the location of the plant to which the milk is diverted.

3. Delete that portion of § 1008.44 preceding paragraph (b) therein and substitute therefor the following:

§ 1008.44 Interplant movements.

Skim milk and butterfat moved in bulk form as any item specified in § 1008.41 (a) (1), (2), or (3) from a pool plant to another plant shall be assigned (separately) to each class in the following manner:

- (a) From a pool plant to another pool plant:
- (1) As Class I milk, unless another class use is indicated in writing to the market administrator by the operators of both plants on or before the 7th day after the end of the month within which

the transfer was made: Provided, That if either or both plants received any, other source milk, the quantity transferred shall be classified at both plants so as to allocate the highest possible utilization to producer milk: And provided further, That (i) milk received from a plant subject to location adjustments shall be assigned to Class I milk in the transferee-plant after producer milk receipts and any receipts from plants subject to no location adjustment are assigned to Class I milk; and (ii) if milk is received from more than one transferor-plant, assignment to the available Class I milk in the transfereeplant shall be made in sequence according to the location adjustment applicable at each transferor-plant beginning with the plant having the least location adjustment.

(2) On a pro rata basis to each class according to the total use of producer milk in each class at the plant where physically received, in the case of milk diverted by a cooperative association in the manner described in § 1008.15(b) (2).

§ 1008.44 [Amendment]

- 4. Delete § 1008.44(b) (1) and substitute therefor the following:
- (1) As Class I milk if the transfer (or diversion) is to a nonpool plant which is engaged in the distribution of milk for consumption in fluid form (except as provided in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph), to the extent that milk is disposed of as any of the items specified in § 1008.41(a) (1), (2), and (3) from the receiving plant in amounts greater than could be supplied from such plant's regular dairy farm receipts of Grade A milk (or the equivalent thereof) and from receipts of milk classified as Class I milk under another Federal order as determined by audit of the market administrator, otherwise as Class II milk.

§ 1008.51 [Amendment]

- 5. Delete § 1008.51(a) and substitute therefor the following:
- (a) Class I milk. For each month the price for Class I milk shall be the basic formula price rounded to the nearest cent, plus \$1.90 adjusted by the amount, but not in excess of 50 cents for any month, computed pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.
- 6. Delete § 1008.51(d) (3) and (4) and substitute therefor the following:
- (3) Divide the amount obtained in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph by the amount obtained in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, and adjust to the nearest full percentage point. The resulting percentage shall be known as the "Class I utilization percentage".
- (4) Compute a "net deviation percentage" as follows:
- (i) If the Class I utilization percentage is neither less than the minimum standard utilization percentage specified below nor in excess of the maximum standard utilization percentage specified below, the net deviation percentage is zero,

(ii) Any amount by which the Class I utilization percentage is less than the minimum standard utilization percentage specified below is the "minus net deviation percentage", and

(iii) Any amount by which the Class I utilization percentage exceeds the maximum standard utilization percentage specified below is the "plus net devia-

tion percentage":

Month for which price	Months used in computation	Standard utilization percentage	
applies	•	Mini- mum	Maxi- mum
January February March April May June July August September October November December	October-November November-December_ December-January_ January-February_ February-March March-April. April-May May-June June-July_ July-August August-September_ September-October	79 76 73 72 73 63 63 65 67 77	82 70 76 76 75 76 71 66 63 72 76

- (5) For a minus net deviation percentage the Class I price shall be decreased, and for a plus net deviation percentage the Class I price shall be increased, as follows:
- (i) One cent for each such full percentage point of net deviation; plus
- (ii) One cent for the lesser of:(a) Each such percentage point of net
- deviation, or

 (b) Each percentage point of net deviation of like direction (plus or minus, with any net deviation percentage of opposite direction considered to be zero for purposes of the computations of this subparagraph) computed pursuant to
- the month immediately preceding, plus (iii) One cent for the least of:
- (a) Each such percentage point of net deviation;

subparagraph (4) of this paragraph for

- (b) Each percentage point of net deviation of like direction computed pursuant to subparagraph (4), of this paragraph for the month immediately preceding, or
- (c) Each percentage point of net deviation of like direction computed pursuant to subparagraph (4) of this paragraph for the second preceding month.

§ 1008.53 [Amendment]

7. Delete from § 1008.53 that portion of such section following the colon (:) and substitute therefor the following: "2.0 cents for each 10 miles, or major fraction thereof, up to 200 miles and an additional 1.0 cent for each 10 miles, or major fraction thereof, in excess of 200 miles, by the shortest hard-surfaced highway distance as determined by the market administrator, from such pool plant to the City Hall, Spokane, Washington."

§ 1008.60 [Amendment]

8a. Delete from the table in § 1008.60 (b) the words "December, January, February, March," and the percentages shown for each of such months, and substitute therefor the following:

December	75	February	70
January	75	March	65

b. Add the following proviso at the end of § 1008.60(b): "Provided, That the percentages to be used for December 1959, January 1960, and February 1960 shall be 80, 80, and 75, respectively."

§ 1008.88 [Amendment]

9. Delete from § 1008.88 the phrase "4 cents" wherever it appears therein and substitute therefor the phrase "5

Issued at Washington, D.C., this 22d day of July 1959.

> ROY W. LENNARTSON. Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6139; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:49 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Federal Maritime Board I 46 CFR Part 226 1

[Docket No. 859]

FREE TIME AND DEMURRAGE CHARGES DURING STRIKES BY LONGSHOREMEN: NEW YORK

Notice of Proposed Declaratory Order and of Proposed Rule Making

On July 9, 1959, the Federal Maritime Board entered the following order:

Whereas, the United States Maritime Commission in its decision and order of October 19, 1948, Docket No. 659, Free Time and Demurrage Charges—New York, 3 U.S.M.C. 89, set forth specific rules and regulations, binding upon all common carriers by water in foreign commerce, with respect to free time and demurrage on import property at the Port of New York: and

Whereas, such decision and order were adopted as rules of the Commission by General Order No. 69, effective December 15, 1948 (46 CFR Part 226); and

Whereas, the regulatory functions of the Commission were transferred to the Federal Maritime Board by Reorganization Plan No. 21 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1273, 46 U.S.C.A. 1111 Note); and

Whereas, a controversy has arisen as to the application of such decision and order after free time has expired and cargo is under demurrage at commencement of a period of unavailability to consignees; and

Whereas, it appears that confusion exists among common carriers discharging cargo at New York Harbor, including but not limited to certain carriers who have petitioned 1 the Board to inaugurate this proceeding, and between such carriers and the consignees of such cargo, as to the proper application of the decision under such conditions.

It is ordered, That pursuant to section 17 and 22 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (47 U.S.C. 816 and 821), and section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), the Board institute a proceeding for interpretation of the applicability of the decision and order in Docket No. 659, General Order No. 69 (46 CFR Part

226), and to consider modification thereof, in the circumstances set forth above, the issues in the proceeding to be:

Where common carriers by water in foreign commerce discharge cargo at piers at the Port of New York and, under the requirements of General Order 69 (46 CFR Part 226) tender it to consignees for the full period of free time;

Where the consignees do not remove such cargo during the free time period and after the expiration thereof a strike by longshoremen commences which prevents the consignees from removing the cargo from the piers;

1. Do the rules in G.O. 69 and the decision in Docket 659 bar the carrier from assessing demurrage for such time as the inability to remove the cargo continues;

2. If not, at what rate or rates may demurrage be assessed;

3. Should the rules promulgated in General Order 69 be modified to eliminate, in the circumstances set forth above, any distinction in charges which depends on whether more or less than a substantial portion of this port is affected.

It is further ordered. That notice of this proceeding be published in the Feb-ERAL REGISTER with invitation to all interested parties to file with the Secretary, Federal Maritime Board, within sixty (60) days after publication of notice of this proceeding in the Federal Register such written arguments as they desire to have considered with regard to the determination of the issue set forth above.

Appendix A to Order of the Federal Maritime Board Instituting Investigation Docket No. 859

American President Lines, Ltd. The Bank Line Ltd. Skibsaktieselskapet Varild. Skibsaktieselskapet Marina. Aktieselskabet Glittre. Dampskibssinteressentskabet Garonne. Skibsaktieselskapet Sangstad. Skibsaktieselskapet Solstad. Skibsaktieselskapet Siljestad. Dampskibsaktieselskabet International. Skibsaktieselskapet Mandeville. Skibsaktieselskapet Goodwill. Wilhelmsens Dampskibsaktieselskab. A/S Den Norske Afrika-og-Australielinie. A/S Tonsberg. A/S Tankfart I. A/S Tankfart IV. A/S Tankfart V. A/S Tankfart VI. Daido Kaiun Kaisha Ltd. The Swedish East Asia Co., Ltd. Ocean Steam Ship Co., Ltd. The China Mutual Steam Navigation Co., Nederlandsche Stoomvaart Maatschappij

"Oceaan" N.V. Ellerman Lines Limited.

Ellerman & Bucknall Steamship Co., Limited.

The City Line Limited. Hall Line Limited. Skibsaktieselskapet Arizona. Skibsaktieselskapet Astrea. Skibsaktieselskapet Aruba. Skibsaktieselskapet Noruega. Skibsaktieselskapet Abaco. A/S Atlantica. Iino Kaiun Kaisha Ltd. Isthmian Lines Inc. Skibsaktieselskapet Igadi. Aktieselskapet Ivarans Rederi. Aktieselskapet Lise. Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd.

Koninklijke Rotterdamsche Lloyd N.V. Mitsubishi Kaiun Kaisha Ltd. Mitsui Steamship Co., Ltd. Dampskibsselskabet af 1912 Aktieselskab.

Aktieselskabet Dampskibsselskabet Svend-

borg.
N.V. Nederlandsch-Amerikaansche Stoomvaart Maatschappij "Holland-Amerika Lijn".
N.V. Stoomvaart Maatschappij "Neder-

Nippon Yusen Kaisha. Osaka Shosen Kaisha Ltd. Philippine National Lines. Prince Line.

Dated: July 22, 1959.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

[SEAL]

JAMES L. PIMPER. Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6126; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Parts 600, 601, 608]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-HO-5]

FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROL AREAS AND RESTRICTED AREAS

Designation of a Restricted Area; Modification and Revocation of Restricted Areas; Modification of Federal Airways and Control Areas

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is consideran amendment to \$\$ 600.6408, 601.1380, and 608.62 of the regulations of the Administrator, as hereinafter set forth.

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering the designation of a restricted area at Kauna Point, Hawaii; the revocation of the West Molokai, Hawaii, restricted area; the enlargement of the Kahuku Point, Hawaii, restricted area; and the modification of the Kaneohe control area extension.

The new proposed restricted area at Kauna Point (R-574), would overlie an area of about five by twenty miles, be unlimited in altitude, and would be designated during daylight hours, Monday through Friday. The activities to be conducted within the proposed area will be bombing and rocket firing.

These activities are now conducted in the West Molokai restricted area, which is a circular area of five miles radius at the west end of Molokai Island, adjacent to Red Hawaiian Federal airway No. 87, Hawaiian VOR Federal airway No. 2, and within the limits of Hawaiian VOR Federal airway No. 8. This restricted area reduces the traffic handling capabilities between Hawaii and Maui, and Oahu. The aircraft using the West Molokai restricted area normally operate at near sonic speeds. This activity would therefore be more suitably conducted away from the heavily traveled inter-island routes.

The restricted area at Kahuku Point (R323) is now an area of one and one half mile radius, at the north point of Oahu. It is designated to 3,000 feet and

¹Petitioners are named in Appendix A

the time of use is published in Notices to Airmen. The proposed expanded restricted area would be used by Naval and Marine pilots for low altitude bombing and radar approach practice. As these operations involve high speed approaches at low altitudes followed by high speed climbs, and pilot preoccupation with aircraft and systems operation, the expansion of the restricted area appears to be reasonable. The Navy states also that the preliminary phase of pilot proficiency training can be transferred from the West Molokai area. The Navy estimates that four to six aircraft will be flying within the area during 80 percent of the time of designation. The proposed expanded restricted area (R323) would lie along the shore of Oahu, extending about fifteen nautical miles to the southeast of Kahuku Point, and offshore for a distance of three nautical miles. The total area over which the expanded restricted area would lie is about sixty square miles.

The area west of Green Federal airway No. 9 and north of Oahu, is designated as control area extension. The Kahuku Point restricted area lies within this area. Concurrent with the proposed redesignation of the Kahuku Point restricted area, it is proposed to amend the description of the control area extension to exclude the redefined restricted area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Regional Administrator, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 4009, Honolulu 12, Hawaii. All communications received within thirty days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrange-ments for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Administrator, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue, NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Re-

gional Administrator.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 600.6408 (14 CFR 1958 Supp. 600.6408); § 601.1380 as amended (14 CFR 1958 Supp. 601.1380, 24 F.R. 2648); and to amend § 608.62 (23 F.R. 8591); as follows:

1. In § 600.6408 Hawaiian VOR Federal airway No. 8, delete "The portion of this airway which overlaps the West Molokai formed the Federal Aviation Agency that

Restricted Area (R-325) shall be used only after obtaining prior approval from Civil Aeronautics Administration Air Traffic Control."

2. In § 601.1380 Control area extension (Kaneohe, Oahu, T.H.), delete "excluding the airspace below 3,000 feet mean sea level lying within restricted area R-323." Substitute therefor "excluding the airspace from the surface to 15,000 feet mean sea level lying within restricted area R-323 during the hours of designation."

3. (a) In § 608.62 Kahuku Point, Oahu T.H. (R-323) (Hawaiian Islands Chart) is amended to read:

Kahuku Point, Oahu, T.H. (R-323)

Description by geographical coordinates. That area bounded by a line commencing at latitude 21°42'47" N., longitude 157°58'30" W.; thence directly to latitude 21°45′54" N., longitude 157°58'30" W.; thence in a clockwise direction (around the Island of Oahu) at a distance of three nautical miles from the shoreline to latitude 21°34'30" N.; longitude 157°48'02" W.; thence directly to latitude 157°48'02' W.; thence directly to latitude 21°32'45" N.; longitude 157°50'15" W.; thence to latitude 21°39'00" N.; longitude 157°55'16" W.; thence along the shoreline northward to the point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. Surface to 15,000 feet, MSL.

Time of designation. Monday through Friday, 0800 to 1600, local time.

Controlling agency. Commander, Fleet Air Hawaii, Barber's Point Oahu, T.H.

(b) In § 608.62 "West Molokai, T.H. (R-325) (Hawaiian Island Chart)" is revoked.

(c) In § 608.62, add "Kauna Point, Oahu, T.H. (R-574).

Description by geographical coordinates. The area bounded by a line from latitude 19°15′00″ N., longitude 15°56′00″ W.; to latitude 18°58′43″ N., longitude 155°47′30″ W.; to latitude 18°56'22" N., longitude 155°-47'30" W.; thence northwest along a line at a distance of three nautical miles from the shoreline of the Island of Hawaii, to latitude 19°14'35" N., longitude 155°57'30" W.: and thence directly to the point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. Unlimited. Time of designation. Sunrise to sunset,

Monday through Friday.

Controlling agency. Commander, Fleet Air Hawaii, Barber's Point, Oahu, T.H.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 23,

D. D. THOMAS, Director

Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6199; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:59 a.m.]

- [14 CFR Part 608]

[Airspace Docket No. 59-WA-21]

RESTRICTED AREAS

Designation of Restricted Area

Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (§ 409.13, 24 F.R. 3499), notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to § 608.61 of the regulations of the Administrator, as hereinafter set forth.

The Department of the Army has in-

it requires a restricted area southeast of Fairbanks, Alaska, in association with an impact area proposed to be acquired by the Department of Army to accommodate the firing of Nike-Hercules surface-toair missiles. This proposed area lies north of Amber Federal airway No. 2 and southeast of the Fairbanks control area extension, covering approximately one hundred ninety-six square miles. There is a permanent Nike-Hercules installation adjacent to the proposed Restricted Area and one of the batteries will be used for training personnel attached to air defense units in the Alaskan Theatre. The area would also be used by other Army units for artillery, mortar, and chemical munitions firing, and tank training.

The designated altitudes would be from the surface to 80,000 feet. Because of the northern latitude training may be conducted twenty-four hours a day, therefore the time of use would be continuous. The Commanding General, Yukon Command, U.S. Army, Alaska, would be the Controlling Agency.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Regional Administrator, Federal Aviation Agency, P.O. Box 440, Anchorage, Alaska. All communications received within fifteen days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Administrator, or the Chief, Airspace Utilization Division, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington 25, D.C. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official Docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Docket Section, Federal Aviation Agency, Room B-316, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. An informal Docket will also be available for examination at the office of the Regional Administrator.

This amendment is proposed under sections 307(a) and 313(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Part 608, published as a "Revision of Part" on November 4, 1958 (23 F.R. 8575) as follows:

In § 608.61 add:

Yukon, Alaska, Restricted Area (R-575) Description by geographical coordinates. Beginning at lat. 64°44'25" N., long. 146°47'50" W., thence around the arc of a circle of twenty-five statute miles radius from the center of Ladd AFB (lat. 64°50'13" N., long. 147°36'46" W.) to the south boundary of the Chena extension to the Fairbanks control area at lat. 64°46'12" N., long. 146°46'40" W., thence along the south bound-

ary of the Chena extension of the Fairbanks

control area to lat. 64°46′15″ N., long. 146°11′15″ W., thence to lat. 64°35′18″ N., long. 146°11′15″ W., thence to lat. 64°35′24″ N., long. 146°18′30″ W., thence to the east boundary of Civil Airway Amber 2 at lat. 64°33′25″ N., long. 146°27′00″ W., thence along the east boundary of Amber 2 to the point of hesiphing. point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. Surface to 80,000

Time of designation. Continuous.

Controlling agency. Commanding General, Yukon Command, U.S. Army, Alaska.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on July 23, 1959.

D. D. THOMAS. Director

Bureau of Air Traffic Management.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6200; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:59 a.m.]

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management ALASKA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Land, Amended

Notice of the proposed withdrawal and reservation of land for the Department of the Air Force, Anchorage 033717, in the Anchorage Land District, Alaska, was published in the Federal Register on October 19, 1957 in Volume 22, Number 204, Document 57-8609. The applicant has amended Tract C to read as follows:

> PORT MOLLER-TRACT C POL Area (Revised)

A tract of land located on the Alaska Peninsula near Port Moller, more exactly described as follows:

Commencing at U.S.L.M. S. 1147, Latitude 55°59'28" N., Longitude 160°34'29.374" W., 1927 N.A.D.; thence S. 73°32'44" E., 10,580 feet, more or less to a point, the Point of Beginning for this description; thence N. 83° E., 730 feet; thence S. 7° E., 1,000 feet; thence S. 83° W., 1,000 feet; thence N. 7° W., 1,000 feet; thence N. 83° E., 270 feet, to the point of beginning.

Containing 22.96 acres, more or less.

L. T. MAIN, Operations Supervisor, Anchorage.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6121; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service **BLOUNT COUNTY STOCK YARDS** ET AL.

Posted Stockyards

Pursuant to the authority delegated to the Director, Livestock Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, under the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.), on the respective dates specified below it was ascertained that the livestock markets named below were stockyards within the definition of that term contained in section 302 of the act (7 U.S.C. 202) and were, therefore, subject to the act, and notice was given to the owners and to the public by posting notice at the stockyards as required by said section 302.

No. 145---3

Name of Stockyard and Date of Posting

Alabama
Blount County Stock Yards, Oneonta June 9,1959
Perry County Stock Yard,
Marion May 14, 1959
Georgia
G. N. Byram Auction Co., Newnan June 15, 1959
Chatham County Stockyard, Savannah June 15, 1959
Miles Stockyard, Baxley (for- merly Miles and Miller Stock
Yard) June 13, 1959
Millen Livestock Market, Millen May 18,1959
People's Livestock Market, Inc.,
Cuthbert May 20, 1959
Sylvania Stockyard, Sylvania May 14, 1959

Tattnall Livestock Market, Glennville		,
Glennville	June	15, 1959
Vidalia Stock Yard, Vidalia	June	15, 1959
Washington County Market- ing Association, Sanders-		
ing Association, Sanders-		-
ville	June	12, 1959
Indiana		,
Anderson Sale Barn, Ander-		
Boone Co. Sale Barn, Leba-	May	23, 1959
Boone Co. Sale Barn, Leba-	*	
non	June	10, 1959
Boswell Live Stock Commis-		
sion Co., Boswell	June	11, 1959
Claypool Sales, Inc., Silver Lake	_	
Danter Cala Dans Dante	June	16, 1959
Etno Bourbon Linestell Color	Aprii	27, 1959
Etna Bourbon Livestock Sales,	T	40 4050
Etna Green Fort Wayne Livestock Auc-	June	18, 1959
tion, Fort Wayne	Morr	99 1050
Fountain County Livestock	way	22, 1939
Comm. Co., Veedersburg	Mov	7 1050
Fulton County Community	May	1, 1303
Sale, Rochester	June	17 1050
Geneva-Berne Livestock Sales	ounc	11, 1000
Co., Berne (formerly Berne-		
Geneva Livestock Sales		
Co.)	May	5, 1959
Glenn Miller Community Sale.		
Richmond	April	22, 1959
Goshen Community Auction		
Sale, Goshen	June	17, 1959
Hilltop Auction Sale, Hanover_	April	21, 1959
Johnson Co. Sales Pavilion,	_	
Franklin	June	9, 1959
Knightstown Sale Co., Knights-		
town La Fontaine Live Stock Sale,	may	12, 1959
La Fontaine Live Stock Sale,	Morr	90 1050
Laurel Burns Sale Barn,	way	20, 1999
		10 1050
Lowell Livestock Auction.	oune	10, 1505
Lowell Livestock Auction, Lowell -	June	11, 1959
Loys Sale Barn, Portland	May	21 1950
Marshall County Community	11103	21, 1000
Marshall County Community Sale, Plymouth	June	17 1950
Monroe County Sale Barn	June	_ i, 1005
Monroe County Sale Barn, Bloomington	April	23, 1959
Montgomery County Sale Pa-		,00
vilion, Crawfordsville	June	10 1950
, •	June	.0, 1000

Name of Stockyard and Date of Posting Indiana-Continued

	middle commune	
on July	Morton Sales Barn, Inc.,	
s,	Morton Sales Barn, Inc., GreencastleApril 27,1959 North Judson Livestock Mar-	
tor,	ket, North Judson May 4, 1959 Parke County Sales Pavilion,	
ment.	Rockville April 22, 1959	
24, 1959;	Producers Marketing Assn., Inc., Centerville April 27, 1959	
	Producers Marketing Assn., Inc., Columbia City April 27, 1959	
	Producers Marketing Assn.,	
	Inc., Lafayette April 27, 1959 Producers Marketing Assn., Inc., Montpelier April 27, 1959	
-	Inc., Montpelier April 27, 1959 Producers Marketing Assn	
osting	Producers Marketing Assn., Inc., Terre Haute	
	Reynolds Sale Barn, Reynolds. June 11, 1959 Royal Center Community Sale	
e 9,1959	Barn, Royal Center June 11, 1959 Rushville Community Sale	
	Rushville Community Sale Barn, Inc., Rushville April 22, 1959 Russell White Sale Barn, Brookville April 23, 1959	
14, 1959		
	Shipshewana Auction, Inc., Angola	
e 15, 1959	Shipshewana Auction, Inc., Shipshewana April 21, 1959	
e 15, 1959	Smyser Sale Barn, Hunting- ton May 22, 1959	
	Star Sale Barn, Greensburg April 21, 1959	
e 13, 1959	Stony Pike Sale Barn, Logans- port April 24, 1959	
18, 1959	Topeka Livestock Auction,	
20, 1959	Inc., Topeka June 16, 1959 Valparaiso Community Sale,	
14, 1959	Valparaiso April 30, 1959	
15, 1959 15, 1959	Vincennes Producers Livestock Association, Vincennes April 28, 1959 Wabash Sale Barn, Wabash May 20, 1959	
15, 1959	Wakarusa Community Sale,	
12, 1959	Wakarusa May 14, 1959 Walkerton Livestock Commis-	
12, 1000	sion Sales, Walkerton June 17, 1959 West Baden Sale Barn, West	
	Baden April 28, 1959	
23, 1959	Winamac Sale Barn, Winamac_ June 16, 1959 IOWA	
10, 1959	Adams County Auction, Corn-	
11, 1959	ing June 1, 1959 Armstrong Sales Co., Arm-	
16. 1959	strong May 3, 1939	
27, 1959	Audubon Auction Company, Audubon	
18, 1959	Bassett Livestock Auction, Kanawha	
22, 1959	Baxter Sale Co., Baxter June 6, 1959	
7, 1959	Bradley's Auction, Red Oak June 11, 1959 Colfax Sale Co., Colfax June 6, 1959	
	Corydon Sale Barn, Corydon (formerly Webb Sale Pavil-	
17, 1959	ion) June 10 1959	
	Cresco Livestock Market, Cresco June 4,1959	
5, 1959	Davis County Sale Company, Bloomfield May 29, 1959	
1 22, 1959	DeWitt Sale Barn, DeWitt May 29, 1959 Dyersville Sale Barn, Dyers-	
17, 1959	ville June 1, 1959	
1 21, 1959	Emmetsburg Sales Co., Inc., Emmetsburg June 1,1959	
9, 1959	Exira Auction Company, Exira_ June 1, 1959 Farmers Livestock Exchange,	
12, 1959	Waukon June 2,1959 Farmers Sale Company, Car-	
20, 1959	roll May 18, 1959	
e 10, 1959	Farmers Sale Co., Sumner May 22, 1959 Fonda Sales Barn, Fonda June 4, 1959	
	Fort Dodge Auction Market,	
e 11,1959 · 21,1959	Fort Dodge Auction Market,	
	Fort Dodge May 20, 1959 Hampton Sales Co., Hampton June 1, 1959	
9 17 1050	Fort Dodge May 20, 1959 Hampton Sales Co., Hampton June 1, 1959 Hopkinton Sales Pavillon, Inc., Hopkinton June 1, 1959	
	Fort Dodge May 20, 1959 Hampton Sales Co., Hampton June 1, 1959 Hopkinton Sales Pavilion, Inc., Hopkinton June 1, 1959 Independence Sales Co., Inc.,	
e 17, 1959 1 23, 1959	Fort Dodge	
	Fort Dodge May 20, 1959 Hampton Sales Co., Hampton June 1, 1959 Hopkinton Sales Pavilion, Inc., Hopkinton June 1, 1959 Independence Sales Co., Inc., Independence May 23, 1959	

Name of Stockyara and Date of Posting	Nume of Stockyara and Date of Posting	Name of Stockyara and Date of Posting.
Iowa—Continued	MISSOURI	OHIO—Continued
Jefferson Livestock Market,	Central Missouri Sales Co.,	Orrville Livestock Auction,
Jefferson June 11, 1959	Sedalia June 8, 1959	Orrville May 28, 1959
Laurens Livestock Sales Co.,	Robertson's Community Sale,	Peoples Livestock Exchange,
Laurens May 26, 1959	Bethany June 16, 1959	Greenville June 4, 1959
LeMars Livestock Sales Co., LeMars May 29, 1959	Trenton Livestock Market,	Pickaway Livestock Co-op
Marengo Sale Barn, Marengo June 3, 1959	Trenton June 11, 1959	Assn., Circleville June 2,1959 Preble County Livestock Pro-
Middletown Sale Co., Middle-	Nebraska	ducers Association, Eaton June 1, 1959
town June 10, 1959	Elwood Livestock Commission	Producers Livestock Associa-
Montezuma Sale Company,	Co., Elwood June 8, 1959	tion, Coshocton June 3, 1959
Montezuma June 9, 1959	Ericson Livestock Market, Eric-	Producers Livestock Associa-
Nesvik Livestock Auction Mar-	son June 9, 1959	tion, Findlay June 1,1959
ket, West Union June 4, 1959	Geneva Sale Barn, Geneva June 9, 1959	Producers Livestock Associa-
New Liberty Sale Barn, New	Humboldt Sale Barn, Hum-	tion, Hicksville June 1, 1959
Northeast Iowa Sales Com-	boldt June 16, 1959 Humphrey Sales Company,	Producers Livestock Associa-
mission, Ossian June 6, 1959	Humphrey Sales Company, Humphrey Sales Company, June 10, 1959	tion, Lancaster June 1,1995 Producers Livestock Associa-
North East Iowa Sales Com-	Tecumseh Livestock Market,	tion, Wapakoneta June 1,1959
mission, Decorah June 6, 1959	Tecumseh June 16, 1959	Producers Livestock Associa-
North English Sale, North	Verdigre Livestock Market,	tion, Wilmington June 2,1959
English June 9, 1959	Verdigre June 10, 1959	Putnam County Livestock
Oelwein Livestock Exchange,	Wayne Sales Company, Wayne_ June 10, 1959	Coop. Assn., Columbus
Oelwein June 3, 1959	NORTH CAROLINA	Groye June 2, 1959
Ollie Livestock Exchange, Ollie June 9, 1959		Somerville Sale Barn, Somer-
Osceola Sale Company, Os-	Elizabethtown Livestock Mar- ket, Elizabethtown May 22, 1959	ville June 16, 1959
ceola June 4, 1959	£	The Guernsey Livestock Sales,
Oskaloosa Sales Pavilion, Os-	NORTH DAKOTA	The Kidron Auction, Inc.,
kaloosa May 28, 1959	Hankinson Sale Barn, Hank-	Kidron June 1, 1959
Paullina Sale Co., Paullina June 10,1959	inson May 20, 1959	The Marietta Live Stock Mar-
Pella Sales Co., Pella May 20, 1959	Park River Live Stock Sale	ket, Inc., Marietta June 4, 1959
Rock Valley Sales Company,	Ring, Park River June 9, 1959	The Union Stock Yards Co.,
Rock Valley June 3, 1959	Stockman's Livestock Auction,	Hillsboro June 11, 1959
Smylle's Livestock Company,	Ellendale June 8, 1959	Tiffin Livestock Sales Co., Tif-
Columbus Junction (for- merly Midway Sales Co.) May 29, 1959	Turtle Lake Livestock Auction,	fin June 10, 1959
St. Ansgar Sales Pavilion, St.	Turtle Lake June 6,1959 Williston Sales Ring, Willis-	Troy Livestock Exchange,
Ansgar June 1, 1959	ton June 12, 1959	Troy June 2, 1959 Warren County Livestock
Stuart Sales Co., Stuart June 2, 1959	Оню	Sales, Inc., Lebanon May 29, 1959
Tabor Sale Barn, Tabor June 10, 1959	Оню	Western Ohio Livestock Ex-
Umstead Livestock Auction,	Barnesville Livestock, Barnes-	change, Inc., Celina June 10, 1959
Eagle Grove June 8, 1959	ville June 9, 1959	Wheelersburg Live Stock Sales
Ute Sale Barn, Ute June 1, 1959	Bloomfield Livestock Auction,	
		Co., Wheelersburg May 28, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., In-	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959	Co., Wheelersburg May 28, 1959 Wilmington Livestock Sales
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction,	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1,1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative As-	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1,1959 Zanesville Community Sales
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorate May 29, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction,	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1,1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative As-	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1,1959 Zanesville Community Sales
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 Kansas	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Pro-	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 3, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards,	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales,	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co.,
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction,	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Com-	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Fallkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brook
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, De-	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Com-	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, De Graff May 28, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn,
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Ek City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Eureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, De-	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Eureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Flint Hills Livestock Sales,	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, DeGraff May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake Livestock Sales Co., May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co.,
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abliene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Eureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Sales, Degraff Livestock Sales, Degraff Livestock Sales, Degraff Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc.,	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abliene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Eureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 3, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, DeGraff Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc, Wooster May 29, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sloux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Fureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Flint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, DeGraff Livestock Sales, DeGraff Livestock Auction Co., Marietta May 28, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farm-	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Auction Sioux Falls Livestock Auction
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Eureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Flint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Holsington Sale Co., Holsing June 6, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farm- erstown June 9, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet June Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Sales Co
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 17, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Holsington Sale Co., Holsington June 10, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmers June 9, 1959 Gibsonburg Live Stock Auc-	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Eureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Hosington Sale Co., Holsington June 10, 1959 Hoxie Livestock Sale, Hoxie June 3, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Cannfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Auction Co., Marietta May 30, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc, Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown June 2, 1959 Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction Cibsonburg Live Stock Auction Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction June 2, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Fallkton Livestock Sales Co., June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls May 30, 1959 Tennessee Johnson City Livestock Mar-
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 17, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Holsington Sale Co., Holsington June 10, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmers June 9, 1959 Gibsonburg Live Stock Auc-	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sloux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sloux Falls June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 Tennessee Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City — June 10, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City Community Sale, Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Fureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott Sale Sales Co., Kinsley June 9, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, DeGraff May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown June 9, 1959 Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg June 2, 1959 Granville Community Live	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City June 10, 1959 Newport Livestock Auction
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Cannield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, DeGraff May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown June 9, 1959 Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg June 2, 1959 Granville Community Live * Stock Sale, Granville May 28, 1959 Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, June 11, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings May 25, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby May 25, 1959 Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sloux Falls May 30, 1959 Tennessee Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City June 10, 1959 Newport Livestock Auction Co., Newport June 12, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 17, 1959 Flix Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 17, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 11, 1959 Holsington Sale Co., Holsington June 10, 1959 Hoxie Livestock Sale, Hoxie June 28, 1959 Kinsley Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley Junction City June 10, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton June 1, 1959 Clark County Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, DeGraff May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown June 9, 1959 Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg June 2, 1959 Granville Community Live * Stock Sale, Granville May 28, 1959 Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction Hartville June 11, 1959 Highland Producers Associa-	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sloux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Auction June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 TENNESSEE Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Auction Co., Newport Livestock Auction June 10, 1959 Newport Livestock Auction June 12, 1959 Sparta Live Stock Market,
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abliene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City — June 16, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Tune, Florence June 17, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott Sale Co., Holsing ton June 10, 1959 Hoxle Livestock Sale, Hoxle June 3, 1959 Kinsley Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley June 9, 1959 Koenig Sale Barn, Junction City June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commis-	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Canfield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg June 2, 1959 Granville Community Live Stock Sale, Granville May 28, 1959 Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hillsboro June 3, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake Sales Co., Inc., Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 Tennessee Johnson City Livestock Market, June 10, 1959 Newport Livestock Auction Co., Newport June 12, 1959 Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta June 6, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Ek City Community Sale, Elk City Community Sale, Elk City — June 16, 1959 Fureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale Sale	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown June 9, 1959 Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg June 2, 1959 Granville Community Live Stock Sale, Granville May 28, 1959 Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville June 11, 1959 Kenton Farmer's Marketing Kenton Farmer's Marketing	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sloux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sloux Falls June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 Tennessee Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City June 10, 1959 Newport Livestock Auction Co., Newport June 6, 1959 Tigrett Sales Company,
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Firt City June 16, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, June 17, 1959 Hoxle Livestock Sale Co., Hoisington Sale Co., Hoisington June 6, 1959 Hoxle Livestock Sale, Hoxle June 3, 1959 Iola Community Sale, Iola May 28, 1959 Kinsley Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 18, 1959 Minneapolis Sale Company,	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, DeGraff May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown June 9, 1959 Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg June 2, 1959 Granville Community Live Stock Sale, Granville May 28, 1959 Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville June 11, 1959 Highland Producers Association, Hillsboro June 3, 1959 Kenton Farmer's Marketing Corp., Kenton June 3, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings May 25, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Market, Johnson City Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta June 6, 1959 Tigrett Sales Company, June 5, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk June 17, 1959 Flix City June 16, 1959 Flint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 17, 1959 Flort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 10, 1959 Hoxle Livestock Sale, Hoxle June 3, 1959 Koenig Sale Barn, Junction City June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 18, 1959 Minneapolis Sale Company, May 28, 1959	North Bloomfield	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sloux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 TENNESSEE Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City June 10, 1959 Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta June 6, 1959 Tigrett Sales Company, Tigrett Sales Company, Tigrett June 5, 1959 Unionville Livestock Market,
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 17, 1959 Flix Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 17, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott Sale Co., Holsington Sale Co., Holsington June 10, 1959 Hoxle Livestock Sale, Hoxle June 9, 1959 Kinsley Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley Junction City Junction City June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 18, 1959 Minneapolis Sale Company, May 28, 1959 Ottawa Market Sale, Ottawa June 16, 1959	North Bloomfield	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 TENNESSEE Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City June 10, 1959 Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta June 6, 1959 Tigrett Sales Company, Tigrett June 5, 1959 Unionville Livestock Market, Unionville (formerly Boyce
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Eureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Hoxie Livestock Sale, Hoxie June 6, 1959 Hoxie Livestock Sale, Hoxie June 3, 1959 Iola Community Sale, Iola May 28, 1959 Koenig Sale Barn, Junction City June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission June 18, 1959 Minneapolis June 18, 1959 Ottawa Market Sale, Ottawa June 16, 1959 Rezac Livestock Commission	North Bloomfield	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sloux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 TENNESSEE Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City June 10, 1959 Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta June 6, 1959 Tigrett Sales Company, Tigrett Sales Company, Tigrett June 5, 1959 Unionville Livestock Market,
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony Sale, Burlington Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell City Sure Auction Sale, Eureka Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence Scott Hoisington Sole Co., Holsington Ton Hoxie Livestock Sale, Hoxie Sinsley Livestock Sales Kinsley Silvestock Sales Sin Co., Lindsborg Silvestock Commission Co., Lindsborg May 29, 1959 June 16, 1959 June 16, 1959 June 10, 1959 June 17, 1959 June 17, 1959 June 6, 1959 June 6, 1959 June 10, 1959 Kinsley June 10, 1959	North Bloomfield	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 TENNESSEE Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City June 10, 1959 Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta June 6, 1959 Tigrett Sales Company, Tigrett June 5, 1959 Unionville Livestock Market, Unionville (formerly Boyce
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 16, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City June 16, 1959 Eureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Filth Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Hoxle Livestock Sale, Hoxle June 10, 1959 Lindshorg Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley June 10, 1959 Koenig Sale Barn, Junction City June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 18, 1959 Minneapolis Sale Company, May 28, 1959 Ottawa Market Sale, Ottawa June 16, 1959 Rezac Livestock Commission Company, St. Marys June 11, 1959 St. Francis Livestock Sales	North Bloomfield	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings May 25, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta June 6, 1959 Tigrett Sales Company June 5, 1959 Unionville Livestock Market, Unionville (formerly Boyce Livestock Company) June 17, 1959 TEXAS
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dimler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Flint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 17, 1959 Flint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Hosle Livestock Sale, Hoxe June 3, 1959 Iola Community Sale, Iola May 28, 1959 Kinsley Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley June 10, 1959 Koenig Sale Barn, Junction City June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 18, 1959 Minneapolis Sale Company, Minneapolis Sale Company, May 28, 1959 Ottawa Market Sale, Ottawa June 16, 1959 Rezac Livestock Commission Company, St. Marys June 11, 1959 St. Francis Livestock Sales Company, St. Francis June 8, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 9, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 DeGraff Livestock Sales, DeGraff May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown June 9, 1959 Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg June 2, 1959 Granville Community Live Stock Sale, Granville May 28, 1959 Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Stock Auction, Hartville Stock Auction, June 11, 1959 Highland Producers Association, Hillsboro June 3, 1959 London Stockyards Co., London June 12, 1959 Lynchburg Stock Yards, Lynchburg Stock Sanage, Mendon June 8, 1959 Mendon Livestock Exchange, Mendon June 8, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 TENNESSEE Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Auction Co., Newport Livestock Auction Co., Newport Livestock Auction Co., Newport Livestock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Unionville Livestock June 17, 1959 Texas Ranchers' Commission Com-
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abliene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Hods Livestock Sale, Hoxe June 3, 1959 Hoxle Livestock Sale, Hoxe June 9, 1959 Koenig Sale Barn, Junction City June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 10, 1959 Minneapolis Sale Company, Minneapolis Sale Company, Minneapolis Sale Company, Minneapolis Sale Commission Company, St. Marys June 16, 1959 Turon Sales Co., Turon June 8, 1959 Turon Sales Co., Turon June 9, 1995	North Bloomfield	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings May 25, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta June 5, 1959 Tigrett Sales Company, Tigrett June 7, 1959 Unionville Livestock Market, Unionville (formerly Boyce Livestock Company) June 17, 1959 TEXAS Ranchers' Commission Company, Junction May 5, 1959
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Hoxie Livestock Sale, Hoxie June 3, 1959 Iola Community Sale, Iola May 28, 1959 Koenig Sale Barn, Junction City June 10, 1959 Kinsley Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 18, 1959 Minneapolis May 28, 1959 Ottawa Market Sale, Ottawa June 16, 1959 Rezac Livestock Commission Company, St. Marys June 11, 1959 St. Francis Livestock Sales Co., Turon June 8, 1959 Turon Sales Co., Turon June 9, 1995 Washington Sale Co., Wash-	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Sales, Dorset Sale, Dorset May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset June 2, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc, Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown June 9, 1959 Granville Community Live Stock Sale, Granville May 28, 1959 Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hillsboro May 28, 1959 Kenton Farmer's Marketing Corp., Kenton June 3, 1959 London Stockyards Co., London Stockyards Co., London Stockyards Co., London Livestock Exchange, Mendon Livestock Exchange, Mendon Livestock Sales	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls June 9, 1959 Wall Commission Co., Wall May 30, 1959 TENNESSEE Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Auction Co., Newport Livestock Auction Co., Newport Livestock Auction Co., Newport Livestock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Unionville Livestock June 17, 1959 Texas Ranchers' Commission Com-
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 1, 1959 Coffey County Community Sale, Burlington June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Eureka Auction Sale, Eureka June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Hoisington Sale Co., Hoisington June 10, 1959 Hoxie Livestock Sale, Hoxie June 3, 1959 Iola Community Sale, Iola May 28, 1959 Kinsley Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 16, 1959 Minneapolis Sale Company, May 28, 1959 Ottawa Market Sale, Ottawa Rezac Livestock Commission Company, St. Marys June 16, 1959 St. Francis Livestock Sales Company, St. Francis Livestock Sales Company, June 11, 1959 Turon Sales Co., Turon June 9, 1995 Washington June 13, 1959 June 13, 1959	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Cannield June 3, 1959 Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston June 1, 1959 Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus June 9, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset May 30, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc., Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Auction Co., Marietta June 9, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Auction Gibsonburg Live Stock Auction, Inc., Gibsonburg June 9, 1959 Granville Community Live Stock Sale, Granville May 28, 1959 Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville June 3, 1959 Kenton Farmer's Marketing Corp., Kenton June 3, 1959 Lugbill Bros., Inc., Archbold June 12, 1959 Lynchburg Stock Yards, Lynchburg Stock Exchange, Mendon Livestock Exchange, Mendon Livestock Exchange, Mendon Livestock Sales Co., Zanesville June 8, 1959	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings June 1, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Solby Livestock Sales Co., Selby June 5, 1959 Sloux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sloux Falls May 30, 1959 TENNESSEE Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City June 10, 1959 Newport Livestock Auction Co., Newport June 10, 1959 Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Unionville Livestock Market, Unionville Livestock Market, Unionville Livestock Market, Unionville Cformerly Boyce Livestock Company) June 17, 1959 TEXAS Ranchers' Commission Company, Junction May 5, 1959 Virginia
Warren County Sale Co., Indianola June 10, 1959 Winneshiek Cooperative Association, Decorah May 29, 1959 KANSAS Anthony Livestock Co., Anthony June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 16, 1959 Ashland Sales Co., Ashland June 16, 1959 Dickinson County Livestock Co., Abilene June 12, 1959 Dumler Bros. Livestock Commission Co., Russell June 10, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 16, 1959 Elk City Community Sale, Elk City June 17, 1959 Fint Hills Livestock Sales, Inc., Florence June 11, 1959 Fort Scott Sale Company, Fort Scott June 6, 1959 Hoxie Livestock Sale, Hoxie June 3, 1959 Iola Community Sale, Iola May 28, 1959 Koenig Sale Barn, Junction City June 10, 1959 Kinsley Livestock Sales Co., Kinsley June 10, 1959 Lindsborg Livestock Commission Co., Lindsborg June 18, 1959 Minneapolis May 28, 1959 Ottawa Market Sale, Ottawa June 16, 1959 Rezac Livestock Commission Company, St. Marys June 11, 1959 St. Francis Livestock Sales Co., Turon June 8, 1959 Turon Sales Co., Turon June 9, 1995 Washington Sale Co., Wash-	North Bloomfield June 11, 1959 Canfield Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Auction, Carrollton Livestock Producers Association, Springfield May 29, 1959 Columbus Union Stockyards, Franklin Township June 1, 1959 Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston Live Stock Sales, Creston Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Auction, Damascus Livestock Sales, De-Graff Livestock Sales, Dorset Sale, Dorset May 28, 1959 Dorset Sale, Dorset June 2, 1959 Farmers Livestock Auction Co., Marietta June 2, 1959 Farmers Live Stock Assoc, Wooster May 29, 1959 Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown Sale, Farmerstown June 9, 1959 Granville Community Live Stock Sale, Granville May 28, 1959 Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hartville Live Stock Auction, Hillsboro May 28, 1959 Kenton Farmer's Marketing Corp., Kenton June 3, 1959 London Stockyards Co., London Stockyards Co., London Stockyards Co., London Livestock Exchange, Mendon Livestock Exchange, Mendon Livestock Sales	Wilmington Livestock Sales Co., Wilmington June 1, 1959 Zanesville Community Sales Co., Zanesville June 5, 1959 SOUTH DAKOTA Clark Sale Barn, Clark May 8, 1959 Clear Lake Sales Co., Clear Lake May 28, 1959 Eureka Livestock Sales Co., Inc., Eureka May 20, 1959 Falkton Livestock Sales Co., Faulkton June 3, 1959 Grossman Sales Co., Brookings May 25, 1959 Moore's Livestock Sales Barn, DeSmet May 25, 1959 Selby Livestock Sales Co., Selby Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Auction Co., Inc., Sioux Falls Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Johnson City Livestock Market, Sparta Live Stock Market, Sparta June 5, 1959 Tigrett Sales Company, Tigrett June 7, 1959 Unionville Livestock Market, Unionville (formerly Boyce Livestock Company) June 17, 1959 TEXAS Ranchers' Commission Company, Junction May 5, 1959

Name of Stockyard and Date of Posting

Name of Stockyard and Date of Posting

Name of Stockyard and Date of Posting

Name of Stockyard and Date of Posting WISCONSIN

Kuehne Livestock Auction, Seymour _____ June 8, 1959

Done at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of July 1959.

> JOHN C. PIERCE. Acting Director, Livestock Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6130; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:48 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Public Roads

CONVEYANCE OF PROPERTY TO **ALASKA**

Pursuant to the authority contained in section 21 of the act approved by the President June 25, 1959 (73 Stat. 141), the Secretary of Commerce by quitclaim deed on June 30, 1959, transferred to the State of Alaska all rights, title and interest of the Department of Commerce in all real properties owned, held, administered, or used by the Secretary of Commerce in connection with the activities of the Bureau of Public Roads in Alaska, except such real properties as the Secretary has determined are needed for the operations, activities, and functions of the Bureau of Public Roads in Alaska after such transfer. This transfer was subject to the condition that if the Secretary of Commerce or the head of any other Federal agency determines and publishes notice thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER within 120 days next following June 30, 1959, that all or any part of these premises or any interests therein are needed for continued retention in Federal ownership for purposes other than or in addition to road purposes, the Secretary of Commerce may enter and terminate the estate quitclaimed in those portions of the premises concerning which said determinations are made, by notifying the Governor of the State of Alaska of such termination by registered letter or letters mailed by June 30, 1960. The State of Alaska has accepted the property transferred without waiving any rights it might otherwise have to refer any dispute to the Claims Commission authorized by section 46 of the aforesaid act approved June 25, 1959.

In order to give Federal agencies an opportunity to determine whether any of the real property so transferred is needed for continued retention in Federal ownership for purposes other than or in addition to road purposes, the following procedure will be used:

(a) Any Federal agency which determines that any of such real property is needed for continued retention in Federal ownership shall publish notice of such determination in the Federal Reg-ISTER within 60 days from the date of this publication.

(b) Such notice shall set forth a determination that there is either a firm requirement or a tentative requirement for retention of the properties concerned.

requirement, the agency concerned shall determine whether a firm requirement for the property exists and, if so, shall publish notice of such determination within 30 days after publication of notice of the tentative requirement.

(d) It will be considered that none of the lands or interests in lands so transferred are needed for retention in Federal ownership for purposes other than or in addition to road purposes, unless a notice or notices with respect thereto are published in the FEDERAL REGISTER as provided in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) herein.

(e) After notice has been published in the Federal Register that a firm requirement exists for the retention in Federal ownership of any real property transferred as above described, the Federal agency concerned shall, within 120 days after publication of such notice, submit a formal request to the Secretary of Commerce for the Secretary to enter and terminate the estate quitclaimed to the State of Alaska to-the extent of the agency's request. Failure of a Federal agency to make such formal request to the Secretary of Commerce will be deemed a waiver of any right to have the property retained.

Real property retained in Federal ownership as provided herein which is not needed or required for any purpose by the Department of Commerce shall be reported as excess by the Secretary of Commerce to the General Services Administration, in accordance with applicable regulations and procedures, and the General Services Administration will be advised of the determinations of the Federal agencies.

A detailed list of individual parcels of land which have been transferred to the State of Alaska pursuant to section 21 of the act approved by the President June 25, 1959 (73 Stat. 141), is on file for inspection at the offices of the Bureau of Public Roads, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., Room 865, and at the Regional Office, Bureau of Public Roads, U.S. Department of Commerce, Federal Building, Juneau, Alaska.

Dated: July 20, 1959.

Recommended:

B. D. TALLAMY, Federal Highway Administrator.

Issued:

F. H. MUELLER. Acting Secretary of Commerce. [F.R. Doc. 59-6125; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8.47 a.m.]

Federal Maritime Board [Docket No. 860]

FREE TIME AND DEMURRAGE CHARGES WHEN REMOVAL OF CARGO PREVENTED BY TRUCKERS; **NEW YORK**

Notice of Investigation and of Hearing

(c) If the notice sets forth a tentative Board entered the following order:

Whereas, the United States Maritime Commission in its decision and order of October 19, 1948, Docket No. 659, Free Time and Demurrage Charges-New York, 3 U.S.M.C. 89, set forth specific rules and regulations, binding upon all common carriers by water in foreign commerce, with respect to free time and demurrage on import property at the Port of New York; and

Whereas, such decision and order were adopted as rules of the Commission by General Order No. 69, effective December 15, 1948 (46 CFR Part 226); and

Whereas, the regulatory functions of the Commission were transferred to the Federal Maritime Board by Reorganization Plan No. 21 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1273, 46 U.S.C.A. 1111 Note); and

Whereas, a controversy has arisen as to the application of such decision and order to the period of partial interference with removal of cargo from piers in the Port of New York in April 1958 alleged to be due to action taken by the Empire State Highway Transportation Association, Inc.,

It is ordered, That pursuant to sections 17 and 22 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (46 U.S.C. 816 and 821), and section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), the Board institute a proceeding for interpretation of the applicability of the decision and order in Docket No. 659, General Order No. 69 (46 CFR Part 226), in the circumstances set forth above, the issue in the proceeding to be:

Whether the partial interference with removal of import cargo from piers at the Port of New York in April 1958 because of the refusal of truckmen to pick up cargo at some piers was of such character and extent as to make applicable the limitation on demurrage charges provided in Federal Maritime Board General Order No. 69, subparagraph (4) (46 CFR 226.1(d)).

It is further ordered, That notice of this proceeding be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER with invitation to all interested parties promptly to file petitions for intervention, and that the proceeding be set for hearing before an examiner of the Hearing Examiners' Office at a time and place to be announced.

Pursuant to the above order, notice is hereby given that the hearing will be conducted in accordance with the Board's rules of practice and procedure, at a date and place to be announced by the Chief Examiner, and a recommended decision will be issued by the examiner.

All persons (including individuals, corporations, associations, firms, partnerships, and public bodies), having an interest in this proceeding and desiring to intervene herein, should notify the Secretary of the Board promptly and should file petitions for leave to intervene in accordance with Rule 5(n) (46 CFR 201.74) of said rules.

Dated: July 22, 1959.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

JAMES L. PIMPER. [SEAL] Secretary.

On July 9, 1959, the Federal Maritime [F.R. Doc. 59-6127; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:48 a.m.1

[Docket No. 861]

MYSTIC TERMINAL CO. ET AL.

Notice of Investigation, Hearing and Consolidation of Proceedings

Mystic Terminal Company, the Boston Marine Terminal Corporation, and Boston & Maine Railroad; Agreement No.

On July 13, 1959, the Federal Maritime Board entered the following order:

Whereas, an agreement between The Mystic Terminal Company (Mystic) and The Boston Marine Terminal Corp. (Marine) has been filed for approval by the Board pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (46 U.S.C. 814), and has been assigned Federal Maritime Board Agreement No. 8395; and

Whereas, notice of the filing of said agreement was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 21, 1959 (24 F.R. 3060); and

Whereas, three protests against approval of said agreement have been received and considered, as has the reply to the protests by Marine; and

Whereas, there is currently pending a proceeding before the Board instituted by the formal complaint of Isthmian Lines, Inc. against Mystic, Marine, and Boston & Maine Railroad (Railroad) in which the legality of said agreement is challenged;

Now therefore, in consideration of the

premises, and good cause appearing.

It is ordered, That an investigation is hereby instituted to determine (1) whether an unapproved agreement requiring approval under said section 15 has been carried out by Mystic, Marine, and Railroad, or any of them, in violation of said section and (2) whether said Agreement No. 8395 should be approved or disapproved in accordance with the provisions of said section 15; and

It is further ordered, That Mystic, Marine, and Railroad are named re-

spondents in this proceeding; and
It is further ordered, That this proceeding is consolidated for hearing before an Examiner of the Board with Docket No. 850; and

It is further ordered, That this order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and that a copy hereof be served on each of the respondents, and the parties to Docket No. 850.

Pursuant to the above order, notice is hereby given that the hearing herein ordered will be heard with Docket No. 850, before an examiner of the Board's Hearing Examiners' Office at a date and place to be determined and announced by the Chief Examiner. The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the Board's rules of practice and procedure, and a recommended decision will be issued by the examiner.

All persons (including individuals, corporations, associations, firms, part-nerships, and public bodies), having an interest in this proceeding and desiring to intervene therein, should notify the Secretary of the Board promptly and file petitions for leave to intervene in accordance with Rule 5(n) (46 CFR 201.74) of said rules.

Dated: July 22, 1959.

Board.

[SEAL] JAMES L. PIMPER.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6128; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:48 a.m.)

Secretary.

MEMBER LINES OF THE INTER-NATIONAL HOUSEHOLD GOODS RATE AGREEMENT

Notice of Agreement Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following described agreement has been filed with the Board for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916 (39 Stat. 733, 46 U.S.C. 814):

Agreement No. 8470-1, between the 30 member lines of the International Household Goods Rate Agreement (common carriers by motor which also operate as non-vessel common carriers by water). modifies their approved Agreement No. 8470, which provides for the establishment and maintenance of agreed rates, charges, rules and regulations applicable to the transportation of household goods between ports of the United States and ports in the United Kingdom, France, Republic of Germany, Spain, Italy, Denmark, Norway, Japan, Okinawa, Formosa, Republic of the Philippines and Central America. The purpose of the modification is to include, within the scope of Agreement No. 8470, the trade between ports of the United States and ports in Algeria, Belgium, Bermuda, Brazil, Egypt, Greece, Hong Kong, Ireland, Israel, Korea, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Netherlands, Panama, Portu-gal, Sweden, Thailand, Tunisia, Turkey, and Vietnam.

Interested parties may inspect this agreement and obtain copies thereof at the Regulation Office, Federal Maritime Board, Washington, D.C., and may submit, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, written statements with reference to the agreement and their position as to approval, disapproval, or modification, together with request for hearing should such hearing be desired.

Dated: July 22, 1959.

By order of the Federal Maritime Board.

[SEAL]

JAMES L. PIMPER. Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6129; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:48 a.m.1

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. SA-342]

ACCIDENT OCCURRING AT NEW YORK INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

Notice of Hearing -

In the matter of investigation of accident involving aircraft of United States registry N 707PA, which occurred at New

By order of the Federal Maritime York International Airport, New York, N.Y., July 11-12, 1959.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, particularly Title VII of said Act, in the aboveentitled proceeding that hearing is hereby assigned to be held on Thursday, July 30, 1959, at 9:30 a.m. (local time) at the Governor Room, Governor Clinton Hotel, Seventh Avenue and 32d Street, New York, N.Y.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 17, 1959.

[SEAL]

REID C. TAIT, Hearing Officer.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6136; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 9476]

UNITED STATES OVERSEAS AIRLINES, INC. v. GREAT LAKES AIRLINES, INC.

Notice of Change of Place of Hearing

In the matter of the formal complaint of United States Overseas Airlines, Inc., against Great Lakes Airlines, Inc., Currey Air Transport, Ltd., Trans-Alaskan Airlines, Inc., Transcontinental Airlines Agency System, Skycoach System and Irving E. Hermann and Ida Mae Hermann.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, that the hearing in the above-entitled matter now assigned to be held on August 11, 1959, at 10:00 a.m. (local time) in Room 810, U.S. Post Office and Court House, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, Calif., will be held on the 11th day of August, 1959, at 10:00 a.m., e.d.s.t., in Room No. 911, Universal Building, Connecticut and Florida Avenues NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner John A. Cannon.

Dated at Washington, D.C., July 21, 1959.

[SEAL]

FRANCIS W. BROWN. · Chief Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6137; Filed, July 24, 1959; -8:49 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 12943, 12944; FCC 59M-936]

W. H. HANSEN AND GRABET, INC. **RADIO ENTERPRISES**

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of W. H. Hansen, Tucson, Arizona; Docket No. 12943, File No. BP-11126; Grabet, Inc., Radio Enterprises, Tucson, Arizona; Docket No. 12944, File No. BP-12539; for construction permits.

It is ordered, This 20th day of July 1959, that Basil P. Cooper will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on October 1, 1959, in Washington, D.C.

Released: July 22, 1959.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.

[SEAL]

MARY JANE MORRIS. Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6144; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12941; FCC 59M-934]

BROADCASTERS, OREG. LTD. AND GOSPEL BROADCASTING CO.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re application of Stanley M. Goard. George W. Phillips, James L. Murray and Dolores E. Zabelle d/b as Broadcasters, Oreg. Ltd (assignor) and Gospel Broadcasting Company (assignee), Docket No. 12941, File Nos. BAL-3454, BALH-370; for consent to the assignment of licenses of Stations KPAM and KPFM, Portland, Oregon.

It is ordered, This 16th day of July 1959, that Charles J. Frederick will preside at the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding which is hereby scheduled to commence on September 1,

1959, in Washington, D.C.

Released: July 22, 1959.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION. MARY JANE MORRIS,

[SEAL] Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6145; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 12919, 12920; FCC 59M-932]

ROBERT L. LIPPERT AND MID-AMER-ICA BROADCASTERS, INC. (KOBY)

Order Continuing Hearing

In reapplications of Robert L. Lippert, Fresno, California; Docket No. 12919, File No. BP-10345; Mid-America Broadcasters, Inc. (KOBY), San Francisco, California; Docket No. 12920, File No. BP-12744; for construction permits for standard broadcast stations.

It is ordered, This 21st day of July 1959, that the hearing now scheduled for September 23, 1959, is continued pending the setting of a new date following the further prehearing conference of September 28, 1959.

Released: July 21, 1959.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION [SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,

Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6146; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:50 a.m.]

[Docket No. 12868; FCC 59M-935]

SAXONVILLE TAXI, INC. Order Continuing Hearing

In the matter of Saxonville Taxi. Inc., 2 Library Street, Framingham, Massa-

chusetts: Order To Show Cause Why There Should Not Be Revoked the License of Taxicab Radio Station KCF-210; Docket No. 12868.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a motion filed on July 10, 1959 by the Safety and Special Radio Services Bureau, requesting that the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding presently scheduled to commence on July 27, 1959, be continued indefinitely;

It appearing that the public interest requires an early consideration of such motion and good cause has been shown for the grant thereof;

It is ordered, This 20th day of July 1959, that the motion be and it is hereby granted; and the hearing in the aboveentitled proceeding be and it is hereby continued to a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order.

Released: July 22, 1959.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

MARY JANE MORRIS, [SEAL] Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6147; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:50 a.m.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Project No. 2082]

CALIFORNIA OREGON POWER CO.

Modification of Notice of Land Withdrawal; Oregon

JULY 21, 1959.

By letter of May 25, 1951, and withdrawal notice of February 27, 1958, this Commission gave notice to the Director, Bureau of Land Management, of the reservation of approximately 1,479 acres of United States land for Project No. 2082, pursuant to the filing of an application for license and amendment of license by The California Oregon Power Company.

On April 22, 1959, the licensee for Project No. 2082, in accordance with Article 31 of their license, filed revised Exhibits K-3, sheets 1 through 15, and K-4, sheets 1 through 8, delimiting the lands occupied by the Big Bend Development and Big Bend Development Transmission Line, between Medford and Klamath Falls, Oregon.

Therefore, in accordance with the provisions of section 24 of the Act of June 10, 1920, as amended, notice is hereby given that the hereinafter described lands of the United States are included in the aforesaid power project as licensed. Under said section 24 these lands are, from the date of filing of completed application for amendment, April 22, 1959, reserved from entry, location, or other disposal under the laws of the United States until otherwise directed by the Commission or by Congress. This notice modifies and supersedes in their entirety the notices of May 25, 1951, and February 27, 1958.

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

All portions of the following described subdivisions lying within 50 feet of the center line survey of the transmission line location as shown on amendatory map Exhibits

K-3, sheets 1 through 15 (F.P.C. Nos. 2082-62 through 2082-76), entitled "Transmission Line from Medford to Klamath Falls, Jackson and Klamath Counties, Oregon, Detail Map," filed in the Federal Power Commission April 22, 1959, superseding Exhibits K-3, sheets 1 through 25 (F.P.C. Nos. 2082-29 through 2082-43).

The Commission's general determination of April 17, 1922 (2d Ann. Rept. 128), with respect to lands reserved for transmission line purposes only, is applicable to the lands delimited on the above-mentioned K-3 exhibits.

REVESTED OREGON AND CALIFORNIA RAILROAD LANDS

T. 39 S., R. 2 E. Sec. 35: N½NW¼, NE¼SE¼, SE¼NE¼. T. 40 S., R. 3 E., Sec. 17: Lots 1, 2, 5, 12; Sec. 21: SW1/4NE1/4, N1/2NW1/4, SE1/4NW1/4, N½SE¼, SE¼SE¼; Sec. 27: S½NE¼, N½NW¼, SE¼NW¼, N½SE¼, SE¼SE¼; Sec. 35: W½NE¼, SE¼NE¼, N½NW¼, Sec. 35: W NE¼SE¼. T. 41 S., R. 3 É., Sec. 1: Lots 2, 3, S1/2 NE1/4, NE1/4 SE1/4. T. 41 S., R. 4 E., Sec. 7: N1/2NE1/4, NE1/4NW1/4; Sec. 9: S1/2 N1/2. T. 41 S., R. 5 E., Sec. 1: N½S½, SW¼SW¼; Sec. 3: SE1/4 SE1 Sec. 7: Lot 2, SE14NW14, S1/2NE14. T. 40 S., R. 6 E., Sec. 1: Lots 5 and 6, NW1/4SE1/4; Sec. 13: Lot 9; Sec. 23: W½NW¼; Sec. 27: NW1/4NE1/4, E1/2NW1/4, N1/2SW1/4. SW1/4SW1/4 Sec. 33: SE14NE14, NE14SE14.

PUBLIC LANDS

Sec. 5: NW 1/4 NE 1/4 , N 1/2 NW 1/4 .

T. 41 S., R. 6 E.

T. 39 S., R. 2 E., Sec. 28: SE¼NE¼. T. 40 S., R. 3 E., Sec. 6: N1/2 SE1/4 Sec. 26: W1/2SW1/4. T. 41 S., R. 4 E., Sec. 6: Lots 6, 7, SE1/4SW1/4; Sec. 8: NW¼NE¼, N½NW¼, SE¼NW¼; Sec. 10: SW¼NW¼; Sec. 12: S1/2 NE1/4, SE1/4 NW1/4. T. 40 S., R. 6 E., Sec. 12: Lots 6, 9, W½SW¼; ec. 14: Lot 6, NE'4NE'4, SW'4NE'4, NW'4SE'4. Sec. T. 41 S., R. 6 E. Sec. 6: Lots 4, 6, 9, SW 1/4 NE 1/4.

All portions of the following subdivisions lying within the dam, reservoir, conduit, forebay, tunnel, penstock, powerhouse, and access road areas of the Big Bend Development as delimited on amendatory map Exhibits K-4, sheets 1 through 9 (F.P.C. Nos. 2082-47 through 2082-55), filed April 22, 1959 (superseding K-1, sheet 1-F.P.C. No. 2082-10), entitled:

"Klamath River Project, Big Bend Development, Access Road Location" (Sheets 1 through 4).

"Klamath River Project, Big Bend Development, Topographic Map of Conduit, Forebay, Tunnel, Penstock and Powerhouse Location and Location of Access Road and Transmission Lines" (Sheet 5).

"Klamath River Project, Big Bend Develop-ment, Topographic Map of Conduit Location and Location of Access Road and Transmission Line" (Sheet 6).

"Klamath River Project, Big Bend Development, Topographic Map of Dam, Conduit and Reservoir Location; Location of Access Roads and Transmission Line" (Sheet 7).

"Klamath River Project, Big Bend Development, Topographic Map of Reservoir Area" (Sheet 8).

5980 NOTICES

"Klamath River Project, Big Bend Development, Topographic Map of Reservoir Area and Reservoir Area Capacity Curve" (Sheet 9).

REVESTED OREGON AND CALIFORNIA RAILROAD
LANDS

T. 40 S., R. 6 E., Sec. 1: Lots 5, 6, 7, 8, NW 1/4 SE 1/4; Sec. 13: Lots 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, NE 1/4 NW 1/4; Sec. 23: Lots 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10; Sec. 27: Lot 1, NE 1/4 SE 1/4;

Sec. 35: W½W½. T. 41 S., R. 6 E., Sec. 3: Lots 1, 2, SW¼SE¼.

PUBLIC LANDS

T. 40 S., R. 6 E.,
Sec. 12: Lots 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, NW¼SW¼;
Sec. 14: Lots 1, 4, 5, 6, NE¼NE¼;
Sec. 26: Lots 4, 5, 6, 7, 8;
Sec. 34: NE¼NE¼, SE¼SE¼.
T. 40 S., R. 7 E.,
Sec. 6: Lot 7.T. 41 S., R. 6 E.,
Sec. 10: W½NE¼.

The area of United States land reserved pursuant to the filing of this amendment is approximately 446.91 acres of which approximately 284.81 acres are Revested Oregon and California Railroad lands and 162.10 acres are public lands. All of these lands have been heretofore reserved for power purposes in connection with Project Nos. 215, 704, 828, 1016, 2082; Power Site Reserve Nos. 258, 582, 649, 653; Power Site Classification No. 218; or Water Power Designation Nos. 3 or 13.

Copies of amendatory project maps, F.P.C. Nos. 2082–47 through 2082–55 and 2082–62 through 2082–76 have been transmitted to the Eureau of Land Management and Geological Survey.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6110; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-18650]

HOPE NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

JULY 21, 1959.

Take notice that on May 28, 1959, Hope Natural Gas Company (Applicant) filed in Docket No. G-18650 an application pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the installation of a new 1,100 horsepower compressor unit and apurtenant facilities, and for permission to remove three existing 1,350 horsepower and two existing 675 horsepower compressor units (a total of 5,400 horsepower) at Applicant's Kennedy Compressor Station in Lewis County, West Virginia, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The stated purpose of the subject application is to obtain authority to replace five obsolete engine units installed in 1912 with a single new unit which will be able to handle the present compressor

requirements of the Kennedy Station at an annual saving of approximately \$100,000 due to the reduction of work force and fuel costs.

The estimated total capital cost of the proposed new facilities is \$330,000 and the total removal cost of part of the old facilities is estimated at \$10,000. Applicant will finance the proposed project with cash on hand. All engine units to be removed will be sold as junk in place, and minor items of equipment will be retained by Applicant for future use.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on August 31, 1959, at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before August 20, 1959. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

Joseph H. Gutride, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6111; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:45 a.m.]

LANDS WITHDRAWN IN PROJECT NO. 751

Vacation of Withdrawal Under Section 24 of the Federal Water Power Act

JULY 20, 1959.

Pursuant to the filing on October 11, 1926, of an application for a preliminary permit for proposed major Project No. 751, the Commission in its July 12, 1927 withdrawal notification letter described the lands being reserved under the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Water Power Act as:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON T. 5 N., R. 41 E. (unsurveyed),

Sec. 27, S½ (320 acres).

By letter of interpretation dated March 10, 1933, the said withdrawal no-

tification was amended to describe the lands, in the terms of an official plat of survey of the township, as:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

T. 5 N., R. 41 E., Sec. 26, lots 1, 2, and 3 (107 acres).

The preliminary permit for the project which was issued October 29, 1927, was cancelled by the Commission on June 14, 1929, the Permittee having given notice of its intention to abandon the project and discontinue investigations under the permit.

The above-described lands are also included in Power Site Classification No. 191, dated November 5, 1927, as construed by Interpretation No. 207, dated April 7, 1933.

The Commission finds: The existing power withdrawal under section 24 of the Federal Water Power Act serves no useful purpose and vacation of the withdrawal is in the public interest.

The Commission orders: The existing power withdrawal pertaining to the above-described lands under section 24 of the Federal Water Power Act pursuant to the filing of the application for a preliminary permit for proposed major Project No. 751 is vacated.

By the Commission.

MICHAEL J. FARRELL, Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6112; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-18536]

MANUFACTURERS LIGHT AND HEAT CO.

Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

JULY 20, 1959.

Take notice that on May 15, 1959, The Manufacturers Light and Heat Company (Applicant) filed in Docket No. G-18536 an application pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the installation and operation of certain new natural gas facilities and for permission and approval to abandon certain other natural gas facilities to be replaced by certain of the new ones, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The new facilities for which authorization is sought consist of:

(1) 5.8 miles of 6-inch transmission line to extend from a connection with Applicant's existing 6-inch lateral near Bruin, Pennsylvania, to a point between Emlenton and Eau Claire where it will feed into another existing 6-inch line serving the area, all in Butler County, Pennsylvania;

(2) 1.03 miles of 10-inch transmission line to replace 1.03 miles of existing 8-inch line which has to be removed to permit improvement by the West Virginia State Road Commission of Route No. 2 in Ohio County, just north of Wheeling, West Virginia; and

(3) 4.75 miles of 6-inch transmission line extending from a connection with Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation's main pipeline in Somerset County, Pennsylvania, to the town of Somerset, together with a regulator station.

The total estimated cost of the proposed new facilities is \$430,000, which will be financed by the issuance and sale of stock debentures to Applicant's parent company, The Columbia Gas System.

The facilities for which permission and approval to abandon is sought consist of:

1.03 miles of 8-inch transmission line in Ohio County, West Virginia, to be replaced by the proposed 10-inch line mentioned in (2) above.

The cost of retiring this section of line, which is to be abandoned in place except for minor facilities which can be used to tie in the new line with existing laterals, is estimated at \$300. No salvage value is given to the facilities to be abandoned. Credit to fixed capital is estimated at \$11,892.

The purpose of the proposals in the subject application is to enable better service to existing market areas, including an additional delivery point from Texas Eastern to overcome capacity deficiency in the Somerset area and increased ability to serve industrial growth potential in the area north of Wheeling.

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on August 27, 1959, at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be repre-

sented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before August 17, 1959. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6113; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. G-17572]

SUPERIOR OIL COMPANY ET AL. Notice of Application and Date of Hearing

JULY 20, 1959.

On January 19, 1959, The Superior Oil Company (Superior) et al. filed an application in Docket No. G-17572, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, for authorization to render natural gas service to Tennessee Gas Transmission Company (Tennessee) from the Annie Turner, et al. Gas Unit, operated by Tennessee and located in the Cecil Noble Field, Colorado County, Texas, pursuant to a contract dated April 1, 1955, as amended, between C. N. Housh (Housh) and Superior, et al., among others, as sellers, and Tennessee, as buyer,² all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that the subject gas unit was operated by Housh until Housh sold his interest therein to Tennessee effective as of January 1, 1958. The application further states that Tennessee, not being an independent producer as defined in § 154.91 of the Commission's regulations, cannot file as operator for the non-operating co-owners. Consequently, Superior filed the application herein on behalf of itself and the "et al." co-owners, all of whom are signatory parties to the contract.

As a part of the application herein, Superior filed a letter dated December 31, 1958, from the Spur Land Company authorizing Superior to file on behalf of the "et al." parties a notice of succession to C. N. Housh (Operator), et al., FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 7.3

This matter is one that should be disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on August 24, 1959 at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such application: Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before August 11, 1959. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

Joseph H. Gutride, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6114; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. G-18369, G-18511]

ZAPATA OFF-SHORE CO. AND TRANS-CONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Applications and Date of Hearing

JULY 20, 1959.

Take notice that on May 13, 1959, amended on May 21, 1959, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) filed in Docket No. G-18511 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of a meter station, appurtenant facilities, and approximately 4.94 miles of 10inch lateral pipeline extending from a point of connection with The Superior Oil Company's "B-1" platform, located in the Block 76 Field, in a southwesterly direction to the Zapata Off-Shore Company, Operator (Zapata), et al., proposed platform in the Block 86 Field, all in offshore Vermilion Parish, Louisiana, to purchase and receive natural gas produced by Zapata, et al., from the Block 86 Field.

The estimated initial cost of the above proposed facilities is \$568,000, which cost will be financed through temporary bank loans, sale of mortgage bonds and sale of debentures.

On April 20, 1959, Zapata filed in Docket G-18369 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity covering the above sale of natural gas to Transco, to be made pursuant to a gas sales contract dated April 7, 1959, executed by and between Transco and Zapata et al.¹

¹ Zapata is filing for itself and, as operator, lists other signatory parties and their per centum of interest in the subject acreage as follows:

Zapata Off-Shore Company, Oper-	
ator	35. 15625
Essex Offshore, Inc	16.40625
Felmont Oil Corporation	32.81250
Harold C. Price	12. 50000
G. H. Walker, Jr	3.12500

^{1&}quot;Et al." parties and their working interests are: Spur Land Company, 4 percent; Lawrence O'Donnell, 26 percent; Clarence A. Russell, 14 percent; and C. B. Faulkner, 20 percent. Superior has a 10 percent interest in the unit.

² Previously accepted for filing as Supplement No. 2 to C. N. Housh (Operator) et al., FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 7.

³ Concurrently with the subject application, Superior et al. filed the notice of succession to C. N. Housh (Operator), et al., FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 7 which rate schedule was redesignated The Superior Oil Company, et al., FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 82.

The foregoing applications are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

These related matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on August 27, 1959, at 9:30 a.m., e.d.s.t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such applications: Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the pro-ceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30(c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnec-essary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before August 17, 1959. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

> JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6115; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:45 a.m.]

HOUSING AND HOME FINANCE AGENCY

Public Housing Administration DEPUTY ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR MANAGEMENT

Designation To Act as Acting Assistant Commissioner for Management

Effective June 29 and during the absence of the Assistant Commissioner for Management the Deputy Assistant Commissioner for Management shall be Acting Assistant Commissioner for Management and shall have all the powers and functions vested in the Assistant Commissioner for Management.

Approved: July 16, 1959.

[SEAL] CHARLES E. SLUSSER, Commissioner.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6118; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:46 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 156]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER **PROCEEDINGS**

JULY 22, 1959.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 62310. By order of July 21, 1959, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Toledo Van & Storage Co., Toledo, Ohio, of a portion of Certificate in No. MC 62383, issued June 4, 1954, to A.B.C. Movers, Inc., Toledo, Ohio, authorizing the transportation of: Bakery goods, between Toledo, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of the lower peninsula of Michigan. Arthur R. Cline, Cline, Bischoff & Cook, Attorneys, 420 Security Building, Toledo 4, Ohio.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCOY, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6124; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:47 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR ~ RELIEF

JULY 22, 1959.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 35567: Petroleum and related articles from Carrizo Springs, Tex. Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-7589), for interested rail carriers. Rates on petroleum, petroleum products, and related articles, in carloads, from Carrizo Springs, Tex., to points in southern, official, Illinois, and western trunk line territories.

Grounds for relief: Market competition.

Tariff: Supplement 205 to Southwestern Freight Bureau tariff I.C.C. 4118, and other schedules named in the application.

FSA No. 35568: Hay from Texas to Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee. Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent (No. B-7588), for interested rail carriers. Rates on hay, in carloads, from specified points in Texas to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Tennessee.

Grounds for relief: Rates constructed on the basis of a short line distance scale. Tariff: Supplement 18 to Southwestern Freight Bureau tariff I.C.C. 4147.

FSA No. 35569: Brick and tile-Denver, Colo., to Kansas and Missouri. Filed Western Trunk Line Committee, Agent (No. A-2075), for interested rail carriers. Rates on building or facing brick and clay building tile, as described in the application, in carloads, from Denver, Colo., to specified points in Kansas, also Kansas City and St. Joseph, Mo.

Grounds for relief: Short line distance formula.

Tariff: Supplement 26 to Western Trunk Line Committee tariff I.C.C.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. McCoy. Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6123: Filed, July 24, 1959: 8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Alien Property

ADELE MEYER-WOLDE

Notice of Intention To Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32(f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return, and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

Mrs. Adele Mever-Wolde, Hauptstrasse 9. Kreuzlingen, Canton Thurgau, Switzerland; \$665.09 in the Treasury of the United States. Vesting Order No. 9068; Claim No. 66533.

Executed at Washington, D.C., on July 21, 1959.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL]

PAUL V. MYRON. Deputy Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F.R. Doc. 59-6131; Filed, July 24, 1959; 8:48 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—JULY.

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during July. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

to date daming only. 11	oposed luies, as opposed to imal action	
3 CFR Page	7 CFR—Continued Page	16 CFR Page
Proclamations:	Proposed rules—Continued	13 5365, 5366, 5416, 5417, 5467, 5487,
3301 5327	960 5645	5488, 5537, 5538, 5565, 5566, 5603,
33025707	9615479	5604, 5737, 5753, 5754, 5788, 5826,
3303 5773	9635491	5827, 5899, 5931, 5932, 5965.
33045931	973 5614	0021, 0033, 0301, 0302, 0300.
Executive orders:		303 5737
	975 5645	17 CFR
Feb. 17, 1843 5575	989 5577	25966
4245 5721	9925614	3 5966
85095762	993 5509	9 5966
10828	10035809, 5968	10 5966
10829 5817	1008 5969	115966
5 CFR	1023 5943	2305900
6 5485, 5561, 5593, 5623, 5773, 5775	1028 5908	2395900
24 5817	8 CFR	
25		
	502 5525	18 CFR
	9 CFR	260 5755
6 CFR	·	
10 5329		19 CFR
3315817	Proposed rules:	1 5366
4215437, 5959	131 5615	2 5366
	10 CFR	17 5489
464 5669		24 5755
483 5775	Proposed rules:	31 5367
484 5777	20 5551	
7 CFR	13 CFR	21 CFR
		1 5367
51 5357, 5684		9 5707
52 5363	14 CFR	130 5827
301 5561, 5686, 5819	4b 5629, 5688	146a 5538
318 5819, 5821	105629, 5688	Proposed rules:
319 5821, 5823	20 5485, 5634	46 5391
321 5823	40 ECON ECON ECON	535511
330 5363	40 5629, 5687, 5688	
352 5823	41 5415, 5629, 5687, 5688	120 5345, 5423, 5550
728 5437, 5710	42 5629, 5687, 5688	121 5945
7305623	43 5629, 5687, 5688	130 5391
813 5329	60 5687, 5688, 5759	304 5757
845 5363	221 5564	24 CFR
8635364	235 5600	
	241 5603	221 5636
904 5329	409 5898	232 5756
909 5626	507 5415, 5534, 5634, 5964	25 CFR
922 5411, 5593, 5751, 5824, 5963	514 5534, 5635	46 5566
927 5735	6005336, 5760	91 5778
934 5329	601 5336, 5760, 5761	
936 5330, 5331, 5461-5465, 5595-5597	602 5336	221 5367, 5539
940 5963	609 5337, 5341, 5467, 5779, 5933	26 (1954) CFR
953 5413, 5466, 5598, 5753, 5964	610 5786	1 5368
957 5413	1221 5688	405901
958 5711	Proposed rules:	170 5828
959 5599		173 5829
969 5824	405424, 5847	
973 5414	415424, 5847	
990 5331	42 5424, 5847	240 5539, 5689
992 5414	43 5613	252 5789
993 5778, 5938	60 5759	253 5636
1020	241 5509	Proposed rules:
	242 5510	1 5803, 5968
	507 5659, 5848	29 CFR
1067	514 5848	
1104	600 5723, 5760, 5973	681 5466
1104 5898 Proposed rules:	601 5723, 5760, 5761, 5973	Proposed rules:
	6025919, 5920	8 5742
52 5372, 5845	6085973, 5974	31 CFR
53 5478		
55 5421	15 CFR	100 5489
906 5549	230 5332	270 5605
911 5939	371 5535	32 CFR -
925 5372, 5491	373 5535	
9335391	379 5535	561 5791
946 5758	004	590 5711
,9495742	381 5535	591 5711
	3825535	592 5711
	385 5535	596 5711
No. 145——4	•	•

FEDERAL REGISTER

32 CFK—Continued	43 CFK		46 CFR—Continued	Page
597 5711	76	5757 1	110	580
598 5711	Proposed rules:	1	113	5801
605 5711	115 5577,	, 5808 1	160	5548
606 5711	Public land orders:	1	167	5548
805 5879	17	5921 1	172 5610, 5757.	. 596'
822 5737	19	5420 1	175	5801
836 5568	172	5741 1	184	5801
862 5756	1045	5418 1	85	5801
875 5333	- 1086	5418 1	187	5802
1001 5671	1748	5905	Proposed rules:	
1002 5883	1885 5371		201-380	5422
1003 5890	1886	5371	226	5973
1452 5490, 5831	1887	5/10		00.0
1453 5490	1888	5418	47 CFR	
1457 5831	1889	5419 2) 	5611
1459 5832	1890			
1466 5832	1891)	
	1892		0	
33 CFR	1893		1	
60 5605	1894		2	5040
62 5605	1895	5620 1	3	5070
64 5607	1896	5030	31	D143
665607		5038	3	5042
68 5607	1897			
70 5608	1898	5741	34	
72 5608	1899	5794	85	5842
	1900	5794	Proposed rules:	
74 5608	1901		3 5849-	-5851
202 5369	1902		7	
203 5345, 5369, 5467, 5879	1903		8	
2055610	1904		10	5660
36 CFR	1905		11	5660
	1906		16	5660
13 5335	1907	5797	49 CFR	
20 5335, 5832	1908	3131	•	
38 CFR	1909		1	
	1910	5905 7	2	
3 5369	1911	5905 7	/3	5639
36 5370	1912	5966	74	5641
39 CFR	1913	5967 7	77	5643
	46 CFR	17	/8	5643
4 5906		1	32	5576
31 5906	2	5799 I 1	56	5469
33 5794	10 5799,	5802 1	70	5548
35 5906	24	5200 1		
45 5794	25	5800	50 CFR	
111 5610	26	5800 1	04	5491
161 5610	30	5800	5549, 5644, 5689, 5722, 5741,	5802
168 5467, 5490, 5832	33		.05	5933
	70		.07	
42 CFR	77	5800 1		5802
401 5335	78		109 5802, 5908,	2007
Proposed rules:	90		11 5335,	E800
400 5345	96		12	5002
401 5345	97	5544 9	51	5645
	~	OUTT 0	·Ulanadacanannanananananananan	9040